

MINUTES
CITY OF FARMINGTON HILLS
CITY COUNCIL MEETING
JUNE 28, 2021 – 7:30 PM

The regular session meeting of the Farmington Hills City Council was held electronically and called to order by Mayor Barnett at 7:30pm.

During roll call, Council members were asked to state their location from where they are attending the meeting remotely.

Council Members Present: Vicki Barnett, Farmington Hills, Michigan
Jackie Boleware, Farmington Hills, Michigan
Michael Bridges, Farmington Hills, Michigan
Valerie Knol, Farmington Hills, Michigan
Ken Massey, Farmington Hills, Michigan
Mary Newlin, Farmington Hills, Michigan
Matthew Strickfaden, Farmington Hills, Michigan

Council Members Absent: Michael Bridges

Others Present: City Manager Mekjian, City Clerk Smith, Assistant City Manager Valentine, Directors Gardiner, Mondora, Schnackel and Skrobola, Police Chief King, Planning Consultant Arroyo and City Attorney Joppich

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Mayor Barnett led the pledge of allegiance.

APPROVAL OF REGULAR SESSION MEETING AGENDA

MOTION by Knol, support by Massey, to approve the agenda as published.

Roll Call Vote:

Yeas: BARNETT, BOLEWARE, KNOL, MASSEY, NEWLIN AND STRICKFADEN

Nays: NONE

Absent: BRIDGES

Abstentions: NONE

MOTION CARRIED 6-0-1-0.

PROCLAMATION RECOGNIZING JULY 2021 AS NATIONAL PARKS AND RECREATION MONTH

The following Proclamation was read by Council Member Strickfaden and accepted by Special Services Director, Ellen Schnackel:

**PROCLAMATION
National Parks and Recreation Month
July 2021**

WHEREAS, Parks and Recreation programs are an integral part of communities throughout our state and country; and

WHEREAS, the City of Farmington Hills Special Services Department is vitally important to establishing and maintaining our quality of life, ensuring the health of our citizens, and contributing to the economic and environmental well-being of the entire community and region; and

WHEREAS, Parks and Recreation programs are shown to increase a community's property values, expand the local tax base, increase tourism, attract and retain businesses, and reduce crime; and

WHEREAS, the programs that are created and managed by the City's Special Services Department and the recent opening of The Hawk, the new Farmington Hills Community Center, help to build a healthy, active community and positively impact the physical, mental, and emotional health of all those who participate; and

WHEREAS, the City's parklands and natural recreation areas enhance the ecological beauty of our community and provide a place for children and adults to connect with nature and enjoy the outdoors.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that I, Vicki Barnett, Mayor of the City of Farmington Hills, on behalf of the City Council, do hereby proclaim July 2021 as **National Parks and Recreation Month** and encourage all citizens to pursue enjoyment of the outdoors and take part in one of the Special Services Department's many wonderful programs including cultural arts, golf, archery, aquatics, ice skating, fishing, senior activities, Nature Center classes, athletics, special events, and more.

PRESENTATION ON POLICE DEPARTMENT DASHBOARD

Police Chief King explained that CLEMIS provides data for the dashboard site and ARX will manage the site with Oakland County funding costs associated with the dashboard for the initial 2-year period. He reviewed the data with Council that will be included on the Police Department dashboard, which will increase transparency for residents and assist the department in working with the community. He noted that other than the 3 base datasets to be included, the dashboard for Farmington Hills will also include data for Force Response to Resistance and Violence, Employee Misconduct and Arrest Origins. Chief King explained that the 3 base datasets would come from Oakland County CLEMIS to ARX who will be managing the website and this information will be updated each month. The additional data points will be provided by the Department to ARX and this information will be updated annually.

In response to Council, Chief King clarified that traffic stops will be tracked as calls for service and information is captured and will be included on the dashboard.

Council questioned if the on-line complaint form could be submitted electronically and if the system could capture non-binary and not just male or female.

Chief King responded that currently the on-line complaint forms need to be printed and completed and then could be submitted electronically. He confirmed that currently gender information is captured from the driver license.

Chief King reported that the dashboard should be complete by the end of July.

CORRESPONDENCE

The following correspondence was acknowledged:

- Letter from Jeff and Royal Zidek complimenting the City's Ice Arena on their management and staff.
- E-mail from Ms. Webber regarding messaging on the electronic signs at City Hall
- Various calls from residents regarding the storm and power outages, flooding, down trees, etc.

City Manager Mekjian stated that the city has been in touch with DTE representatives and plans to meet with them regarding chronic outages in certain areas.

Mayor Barnett asked administration to inquire about reimbursement for food loss during long-term outages.

CONSENT AGENDA

MOTION by Massey, support by Strickfaden, to approve Consent Agenda as read.

Roll Call Vote:

Yeas: BARNETT, BOLEWARE, KNOL, MASSEY, NEWLIN AND STRICKFADEN
Nays: NONE
Absent: BRIDGES
Abstentions: NONE

MOTION CARRIED 6-0-1-0.

PUBLIC QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS

There were no public questions or comments.

COUNCIL MEMBERS COMMENTS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

The following comments and announcements were made:

- Acknowledged many positive comments regarding the HAWK and an inquiry about increasing the hours for the pool to remain open later.

Ellen Schnackel, Director of Special Services, noted that their goal is to have the pool open longer once they have sufficient staffing in place. The city currently has 30 positions still to fill at the HAWK and some of those include lifeguard positions.

Members of council suggested reviewing pay increases for part-time positions and or potentially lowering the age restrictions.

Director Schnackel stated that staff is currently working with Human Resources regarding pay increases specifically for positions that require special certifications such as lifeguards. She stated that lowering the age limit is an option that staff could review.

Attorney Joppich cautioned that there could be minimum age requirements or other restrictions of employment and that Human Resources should have that information.

CITY MANAGER UPDATE

City Manager Mekjian provided the following update:

The inclement weekend weather brought approximately 3.75 inches of rain to Farmington Hills between Friday and Sunday that resulted in some localized flooding, road closures and down trees. He noted that the Middlebelt Tunnel did overflow but that was expected with this type of storm. As of this morning, all roads are open and the city has heard of no sewage in basements from residents. He thanked the Police, Fire and Public Services staff for their efforts over the weekend required due to the storms.

Mayor Barnett reminded residents of state law requirements for setting off fireworks and asked residents to be courteous to their neighbors. She encouraged residents to donate their money to charities rather than purchasing fireworks.

PUBLIC HEARING

PUBLIC HEARING AND CONSIDERATION OF THE INTRODUCTION OF AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CITY CODE, CHAPTER 34, "ZONING", TO AMEND THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP IN ORDER TO REZONE THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT PARCEL ID 23-21-376-021 FROM B-3, GENERAL BUSINESS DISTRICT TO LI-1, LIGHT INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT; REZONING REQUEST 2-3-2021.

Ed Gardiner, Director of Planning and Community Development, explained that the rezoning request is to rezone property located on Grand River from B-3, General Business to LI-1, Light Industrial District. The property owner currently operates a building to the north of this property zoned LI-1, Light Industrial and would like to expand his current business to this property. The Planning Commission reviewed the proposal and recommended approval at their May 20, 2021 public hearing. He explained the procedures for presenting the request and allowing for public comment prior to Council action.

Hisham Younis, representing Jing-Jin North America, explained that he would like to expand his current business to this property and mentioned that the building would be modern with a blue glass façade and that operations are very clean. He spent \$13 million on the current building and expects to spend at least \$20 million on the new building that would be a showcase facility and create employment for 150 staff members.

Rod Arroyo, Giffels-Webster, reported that the surrounding zoning is mostly LI-1, Light Industrial to the north and east and B-3 to the west along the north side of Grand River. The Master Plan designation is similar to the zoning. He noted the following from his report:

- The property consists of 2.26 acres and is zoned B-3, General Business
- The property has a Non-Center Type Business designation for the future land use plan. The future land use plan is intended to show a generalized plan for future development and not exact boundaries for each use category so when evaluating a rezoning, the city may need to consider if it would fit in with the area or is a logical extension or whether it makes sense to maintain the existing zoning
- The master plan designation indicates this as a transition area; therefore, LI-1 zoning would be considered good planning practice since it is adjacent to other properties zoned LI-1 and would be an extension of an existing zoning district
- Properties to the west are zoned office, a permitted use in LI-1 or B-3 zoning districts and further shows this as a transition area
- Although the master plan map doesn't designate the property at LI-1, the Planning Commission found it is generally consistent and a reasonable alternative and was found to be a logical extension of the district to Grand River.

- It would permit the expansion of an existing industrial operation but doesn't necessarily provide a special benefit that is not available to other owners as there are other LI-1 properties in the area and would therefore not constitute spot zoning.

Councilmember Massey mentioned that many years ago this property was zoned LI-1 and was rezoned to B-3.

Mayor Barnett inquired if this rezoning would create spot zoning for the property located to the west that would remain B-3 zoning. Mr. Arroyo responded that it would not constitute spot zoning as that property is master planned for commercial or B-3 zoning.

Further discussion was held on spot zoning in this area. Mr. Arroyo stated that whenever the requested rezoning is near a border the city must carefully consider unique factors of property.

Director Gardiner mentioned that the Planning Commission will be re-evaluating the city's master plan in the near future and he could recommend further review of this area. In response to Council, he noted that the retention basin to the east would be evaluated during the site plan process.

Mayor Barnett opened the public hearing. There being no comments, Mayor Barnett closed the public hearing.

MOTION by Knol, support by Massey, that the City Council of Farmington Hills hereby approves the INTRODUCTION of an ordinance amending City Code, Chapter 34, "Zoning", to amend the official Zoning Map in order to rezone the property located at Parcel ID 23-21-376-021 from B-3, General Business District to LI-1, Light Industrial District, Rezoning Request 2-3-2021, as the change is a reasonable alternative to the Master Plan because it will promote land use policies of the Master Plan and will not conflict with present policies.

Roll Call Vote:

Yeas: BARNETT, BOLEWARE, KNOL, MASSEY, NEWLIN AND STRICKFADEN
Nays: NONE
Absent: BRIDGES
Abstentions: NONE

MOTION CARRIED 6-0-1-0.

PUBLIC HEARING AND CONSIDERATION OF APPROVAL OF PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT PLAN 1, 2021, INCLUDING SITE PLAN 54-2-2021 DATED JUNE 3, 2021, WITH CONDITIONS; LOCATED AT 31252 TWELVE MILE ROAD.

Director Gardiner explained that the request is for final approval of PUD Plan 1, 2021 including site plan 54-2-2021, to convert the existing hotel to a senior living facility. The property is zoned ES, Expressway Service District and the master plan is ES, Expressway Service District. He reported that the Planning Commission reviewed the request and recommended approval at their May 27, 2021 public hearing. He explained the procedures for presenting the request and allowing for public comment prior to Council action.

Paul O'Meara, representing Manor Senior Living, stated that the proposal is to build a senior living facility with independent and assisted care living under the PUD option. He spoke to the surrounding area and stated that they are requesting the PUD option as they feel the project would meet the following qualifications:

- Protect existing adjacent uses and be a good neighbor to both commercial and residential uses
- Provide for a better transition buffer resulting in less noise and traffic for the surrounding residential areas
- Improve aesthetics by implementing landscaping in excess of minimum standards
- Provide a redevelopment that is sustainable

Mr. O'Meara indicated that other benefits of the project include less parking and more green space on site with a kiosk and walking area and improved vehicle access that has been a point of discussion by the city for many years. The proposal is to maintain the existing 12 Mile drive and add a second drive on Orchard Lake Road that would better serve Roberto's Coney Island that is currently up for sale. They would also provide easements for driveways from the Marathon gas station and the existing restaurant if they wished to connect to the Orchard Lake Road access. He noted that the project would also improve the entrance to the building to provide for improved emergency access and compliance with fire prevention codes.

Doug Boehm, Executive Director and one of the owners of Manor Senior Living, stated that Manor Senior Living was founded in 2013 in the Frankenmuth area and has grown over the past 8 years to 5 counties and 10 facilities that consist of independent living, assisted living, memory care and skilled nursing. They are proposing a conversion of the Radisson Hotel to senior living offering independent living, assisted living and memory care with a gazebo and walking path and other outdoor activities. The existing pool will be maintained to allow for the independent seniors to remain active. He showed renderings of the building and proposed material to be used and landscaping. He expects to spend \$5 million on the redevelopment and will be converting over 200 hotel rooms to 128 senior living units. The facility will also include a movie theater, activities area, exercise rooms, library, lounge/living rooms, conference rooms, dining rooms and the pool. It will consist of 24/7 care with an emergency call system. The building will be 3 stories with assisted living and memory care on the 3rd floor and independent living on the first floor. Mr. Boehm shared information on the need for this type of senior living based on a market study conducted by a third party and discussed monthly rates for 24/7 care and services for the facility. He noted that hotel rooms in nature are typically larger than senior living units so the rooms at this location will be larger than most other senior facilities.

Discussion was held on the emergency access locations to the facility and conversations with area businesses regarding access easements to the site.

Attorney Joppich explained that with a PUD plan there would be a PUD agreement that contained final details, including any easement agreements and this agreement come back to City Council for approval at a later date.

Mr. O'Meara

The following Council comments/concerns were mentioned:

- Grade from the new access drive to Orchard Lake Road
- Potential impact on Robert's Coney Island selling their business
- The influx of senior facilities being built or proposed in close proximity to this project
- Impact on future plans for the Orchard Lake Road boulevard
- Project that would not necessarily enhance other area businesses
- Preference for owner-occupied dwellings for independent senior living

Mr. O'Meara explained that the grade of the access to Orchard Lake Road has been engineered so he has no concerns. He commented that the market study conducted showing the need for this type of senior living is not only for today but for future needs of the area.

Rod Arroyo, Giffels-Webster, discussed the zoning of the site and surrounding area and outlined the PUD approval process and how the project meets qualifications. Open meeting space requirements are not met but the proponent is substantially expanding open and green space from what currently exists and are adding other amenities. He discussed the proposed senior living units and noted a traffic study showed that proposed trips per day generated would be less than the previous hotel use or other uses allowed in the district. In summary of the plan, he pointed out that there was a question about the demand for senior housing and the applicant has provided market data and Mr. Arroyo mentioned the aging population data provided through the SEMCOG forecast information. He noted that the proponent is seeking relief as it relates to density, open space and allowing the use within the ES, Expressway Service District under the PUD option.

Mayor Barnett opened the public hearing.

Theresa Rich, Heritage Hills Drive, stated that at Council's last meeting it was nice to hear about the future vision of Farmington Hills along 12 Mile Road and the positive direction of that discussion; however, she was disappointed to hear of this proposed development. She feels the subject property is a good area and opportunity to create that vision and that the city should challenge its traffic engineers to work with a developer to reduce incidents of crashes and bring in mixed use development in this area to help revitalize the city. She commented that there are already quite a few senior assisted living facilities in the city and more going up or that have been proposed and she is concerned this sends a message to young families that all new housing proposed is for seniors. She heard the data about an aging community but wondered if there was data to show that this was lacking for Farmington Hills residents.

Victor Simon, owner of the Marathon gas station, stated that while he likes the new development, he is concerned with the city's request to have him close his exit to Orchard Lake Road and a new one proposed for all of the businesses at this corner. He also expressed concern with the grade to the access to Orchard Lake Road and feels it will inconvenience his customers and their ability to get in and out of the site and that it could cause more accidents.

There being no further comments, Mayor Barnett closed the public hearing.

Mr. Boehm took exception to the Mayor's comments regarding the number of senior living communities in Farmington Hills and stated that had he known the city did not want any more senior living facilities in the community, they would not have spent the time and money they have on the project to date. He believes this is a good development and the hotel has been on the market for some time. While it may not attract younger people to the area to visit the restaurants, seniors are the biggest demographic and there is a need to treat them well.

Mayor Barnett responded that there is a lot of senior living and assisted care coming into the community but what is lacking are amenities for active seniors that want to downsize from their homes into smaller condominiums. She added that the project does not provide for any carbon footprint relief or solar panels or charging stations that may help negate her concerns with the lack of green space and grade difference to the Orchard Lake drive.

Councilmember Knol commented that the city has to work with what they have, which is a dilapidated hotel that has been on the market for several years and no developer has been willing to come in and build

the townhouses or mixed-use development discussed. She does not believe this is a good area for mixed-use development as it would create even more traffic and people would not be as willing to pay for higher priced condominiums that are next to the expressway. She noted that the proposed project is reducing a significant amount of parking to put in grass and that would not happen with townhouses or condominiums and for those reasons she can support the plan at this location. She acknowledged that some of the proposed senior living facilities have not moved forward and doesn't believe the city should penalize or prohibit this project when it is unknown if those other projects will come to fruition.

MOTION by Knol, support by Massey, that the City Council of Farmington Hills hereby approves Planned Unit Development Plan 1, 2021 including Site Plan 54-2-2021 dated June 3, 2021, with the condition of final approval of all necessary access easements with all neighboring properties; and

IT IS FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Council authorizes the City Attorney to prepare the appropriate PUD agreement stipulating the terms of final development.

Further discussion was held on the grade of the drive to Orchard Lake Road.

Mr. Boehm noted that they met with the city's traffic engineer and owners of Roberto's on site and the grade of the drive was developed, reviewed and approved by the city traffic engineer.

Mr. O'Meara agreed and stated that if this is the issue that will stop this project, they could review options to make the transition to that grade longer by moving a few parking spaces.

City Manager Mekjian explained that the city has been trying to get improved traffic circulation on this site for quite some time and this PUD plan will accomplish this. He added that the developer will be required to meet city design standards.

Discussion was held on potential development of this site if this plan was not approved. As far as impact on the sale of Roberto's Coney Island, Director Gardiner stated that the changes proposed with this development would greatly improve access to the site.

Mayor Barnett is concerned the plan has too little open space dedicated for the seniors and has concerns with the drive grade to Orchard Lake Road. She would like to see a lower carbon footprint and synergy between other businesses and this project; but agreed with Councilmember Knol that this is a problematic piece of property and is not a good area for mixed-use development. Mayor Barnett stated that she will vote in favor of the project but when the final PUD agreement comes back before Council, she wants to see improvements including more green space and the grade to Orchard Lake Road addressed. She stated if the PUD agreement comes before Council with no changes proposed, she will probably vote against it. Mayor Barnett also suggested the proponents consider including solar panels and installing charging stations for employees.

Roll Call Vote:

Yeas: BARNETT, KNOL, NEWLIN AND STRICKFADEN

Nays: BOLEWARE AND MASSEY

Absent: BRIDGES

Abstentions: NONE

MOTION CARRIED 4-2-1-0.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

CONSIDERATION OF RETURNING TO IN-PERSON MEETINGS (POSTPONED FROM JUNE 14, 2021).

City Clerk Smith explained that after the last Council meeting when this was discussed, Council consensus was to return to in-person meetings starting in July 2021 and Council requested keeping members socially distanced at the dais. Renderings were provided to City Council showing the dais and where staff members would be located and plans to social distance the public when needed. Clerk Smith noted that it was suggested that staff display the Council meeting material on the overhead projectors and that can be accomplished. She stated that no action is required, only a consensus if the layout as proposed is sufficient.

City Council consensus was to return to in-person meetings in July 2021 with social distancing in place as proposed.

Mayor Barnett mentioned that the Council will reserve the right to call for another local state of emergency if needed. She also requested hand sanitizer in the chamber. Clerk Smith noted there would be hand sanitizer and masks available for those that want one.

Clerk Smith mentioned that in light of the conversations of Council on transparency and requests to her on getting more information out to the public, she was requesting feedback from Council on continuing to livestream Planning Commission meetings to YouTube and posting their meeting material to the website as they will be doing for City Council.

Councilmember Knol supported continuing to livestream the Planning Commission meetings. No other comments or concerns were noted.

Clerk Smith indicated that the intent was to get feedback from Council and bring the matter back for further discussion to provide Planning Commission members an opportunity to comment as well.

NEW BUSINESS

CONSIDERATION OF APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT TO RULES OF THE CITY COUNCIL AND GUIDELINES OF CONDUCT.

Clerk Smith explained that at the last meeting Council decided that the City Council meeting material should be posted to the website starting with their July meeting. At the direction of Council, the meeting material would be posted the Monday morning of the meeting and continue to be provided to City Council the Friday prior. She noted that the city has a current policy for videotaping City Council meetings and posting the videos to the website that is outdated and it is the suggestion of staff to rescind this policy as the information for livestreaming meetings will be part of the amendments to the Rules of the City Council and Guidelines of Conduct if approved. Clerk Smith added that approval of the amendments requires a 2/3 vote of Council per the document and mentioned that the proposed amendment is clear that posting of the meeting material is voluntary, not required by law and does not create any added notice or due process obligations or rights.

Phil Neuman, resident, stated that he is pleased that the meeting material will be posted to the city website but requested that this is done on Friday as well so the public has an opportunity to review the material over the weekend.

Mayor Barnett explained that most of the time the public is seeking information on a particular item and not reviewing the entire packet of material and Council would like additional time to review the

information prior to the public receiving it and contacting them with questions. She suggested moving forward as proposed and if the city is receiving requests or complaints, Council can revisit the issue.

In response to the Mayor, Clerk Smith noted that it was her intent to send one last email to the group of people that had requested the meeting material via email noting that this now will be posted to the website on Monday morning. It was mentioned that this group receives the material on the Saturday prior to the meeting.

Mayor Barnett requested that staff continue to email the material on Saturday to those that have requested and she suggested that Mr. Neuman contact the City Clerk to be added to that email group.

MOTION by Massey, support by Strickfaden, that the City Council of Farmington Hills hereby approves the amended Rules of the City Council and Guidelines of Conduct dated June 28, 2021 and rescinds the previous policy for Videotaping of City Council Meetings and Video on Demand for City Council Meetings dated 1997 and amended in 2009; and

FURTHER RESOLVES, that the City Council meeting material will be posted as early as possible on Monday morning.

Roll Call Vote:

Yeas: BARNETT, BOLEWARE, KNOL, MASSEY, NEWLIN AND STRICKFADEN
Nays: NONE
Absent: BRIDGES
Abstentions: NONE

MOTION CARRIED 6-0-1-0.

CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF A RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING SEWER RATES FOR FISCAL YEAR 2021/2022. CMR 6-21-68

Tammy Gushard, Deputy Director of Public Services, explained that before Council this evening are the proposed 2021/2022 sewer rates and the recommendation includes a rate increase of 8.1 % for the sewer and equates to \$5.30 per quarter for a customer paying the minimum bill and increase of \$13.24 for flat rate customers or sewer only customers.

**CITY OF FARMINGTON HILLS
AMENDED SEWAGE DISPOSAL RATES**

RESOLUTION NO. R-120-21

WHEREAS, the County of Oakland, through its Oakland County Water Resources Commissioner, under the Michigan Constitution of 1963, Article 7, §28, and the Urban Cooperation Act of 1967, on September 27, 2018, entered into contract with the City of Farmington Hills for the construction, operation, and maintenance of the Farmington Sewage Disposal System; and

WHEREAS, the City shall provide, by proper ordinance or resolution for the sewage disposal rate to be charged to all premises within the City connected to the Farmington Sewage Disposal System; and

WHEREAS, the City of Farmington Hills was notified by the Oakland County Water Resources Commissioner, that sewage disposal charges for the Evergreen-Farmington Sanitary Drain Drainage

District would increase effective for use on and after July 1, 2021 and the Gross Pollutant Surcharge and the Industrial Waste Control (IWC) charges approved the Great Lakes Water Authority (GLWA) would also go into effect on July 1, 2021; and

WHEREAS, the Oakland County Water Resources Commissioner (WRC) has performed a review of the finances for the Farmington Hills Sewage Disposal System including the cost for disposal to the Great Lakes Water Authority, the charges for operation and maintenance of the Evergreen-Farmington Sewage Disposal System, the cost for WRC to operate and maintain the City's sewage disposal system, and reserve funds including an emergency reserve, capital improvement reserve, and a major maintenance reserve; and

WHEREAS, in a letter dated May 19, 2021, the Oakland County Water Resources Commissioner, as operating agency for the City, recommended that sewage disposal rates be established effective July 1, 2021; and

WHEREAS, the sewage disposal charge has increased \$3.31 from \$40.94 per Mcf to \$44.25 per Mcf; and

WHEREAS, the minimum quarterly charge has been established at \$70.80 based upon an assigned use of 1.6 Mcf; and

WHEREAS, the flat rate quarterly charge has been established at \$177.00 for sewer users that do not have a water meter based upon an assigned use of 4.0 Mcf; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the rates of \$44.25 per Mcf for sewage disposal, \$70.80 for a minimum quarterly charge and \$177.00 for the flat rate quarterly charge for the Farmington Hills Sewage Disposal System be established effective July 1, 2021 for all users of the Farmington Hills Sewage Disposal System and the Great Lakes Water Authority (GLWA) gross Pollutant Surcharge and the IWC charges be established in accordance with the following until further notification from GLWA on the net charges:

1. **Pollutant Surcharge**

A Pollutant Surcharge shall be levied against industrial and commercial customers contributing sewage to the system with concentrations of pollutants exceeding the levels described as follows:

- A. 275 milligrams per liter (mg/l) of Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD).
- B. 350 milligrams per liter (mg/l) of Total Suspended Solids (TSS).
- C. 12 milligrams per liter (mg/l) of Phosphorus (P).
- D. 100 milligrams per liter (mg/l) of Fats, Oils & Grease (FOG).

<u>Amounts of Pollutant Surcharge</u>	<u>Total Charge Per Pound of Excess Pollutants</u>
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)	\$0.347
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	0.476
Phosphorus (P)	6.368
Fats, Oils & Grease (FOG)	0.111

It is assumed that normal domestic customers do not contribute sewage with concentrations of pollutants exceeding the above levels, therefore, the Pollutant Surcharge will not apply to domestic customers. Further, restaurants shall be exempt from Pollutant Surcharge per Federal

Court Order, "Second Interim Order," dated July 10, 1981.

2. **Industrial Waste Control**

Based on the size of the water meter, actual or assigned, each non-residential user of the system shall pay a monthly Non-residential Surcharge in accordance with the following schedule:

<u>Meter Size</u>	<u>Industrial Waste Control (I.W.C.) Charge</u>
5/8"	\$3.54
3/4"	5.31
1"	8.85
1-1/2"	19.47
2"	28.32
3"	51.33
4"	70.80
6"	106.20
8"	177.00
10"	247.80
12"	283.20
14"	354.00
16"	424.80
18"	495.60

YEAS: BARNETT, BOLEWARE, KNOL, MASSEY, NEWLIN AND STRICKFADEN

NAYS: NONE

RESOLUTION DECLARED ADOPTED.

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
)
COUNTY OF OAKLAND)

CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF A RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING WATER RATES FOR FISCAL YEAR 2021/2022. CMR 6-21-69

Tammy Gushard, Deputy Director of Public Services, explained that before Council this evening are the proposed 2021/2022 water rates and the recommendation includes a rate increase of 3.8 % for the sewer and equates to \$2.53 per quarter for a customer paying the minimum bill and increase of \$6.16 for the average customer. The water tower continues to save the city rate payers money each year and it is estimated that the savings this year will be \$2.4 million with the overall savings totaling \$17.3 million since its initial construction.

**CITY OF FARMINGTON HILLS
AMENDED WATER SUPPLY RATES**

RESOLUTION NO. R-121-21

WHEREAS, the Oakland County Water Resources Commissioner (WRC) has performed a review of the finances for the City of Farmington Hills water supply system including the cost to purchase

pursuant to Article VI of the City Charter; and,

WHEREAS, a Public Hearing was held on the combined budgets for FY 2021/22 on June 14, 2021 and the property tax millage rate to be levied to support the FY 2021/22 budget; and,

WHEREAS, an appropriate public notice was published on May 27, 2021, notifying citizens of the Public Hearing on the proposed FY 2021/22 Budget and the proposed property tax levy to support these budgets and the City Council's intention to adopt the budgets and establish the property tax rates on June 14, 2021 after the Public Hearing; and,

WHEREAS, all necessary proceedings have been taken by the City of Farmington Hills, Oakland County, Michigan, for the adoption of its Budget for the FY 2021/22;

THEREFORE, be it resolved by the City Council as follows:

- 1) That the City Council for the City of Farmington Hills hereby adopts the General Fund Budget for FY 2021/22 in the aggregate amount of \$70,350,229 for expenditures and transfers-out funded by \$64,392,261 in revenues and transfers-in.
- 2) That the City Council for the City of Farmington Hills hereby appropriates the sum of \$70,350,229 in expenditures and transfers-out for FY 2021/22 for General Fund purposes on a departmental and activity total basis as follows:

Boards & Commissions	\$2,980,288
General Government	\$11,458,853
Public Safety	\$23,824,432
Planning & Community Development	\$1,913,281
Public Services	\$8,472,403
Special Services	\$11,892,176
Operating Transfers Out	\$9,808,796
Total Expenditures + Transfers-out	<u>\$70,350,229</u>

- 3) That the City of Farmington Hills shall levy 5.4072 mills ad valorem tax on the Taxable Value of all Real and Personal Property subject to taxation in the City of Farmington Hills for the FY 2021/22 for general operating purposes.
- 4) That the City of Farmington Hills shall levy 0.6017 mills ad valorem tax on the Taxable Value of all Real and Personal Property subject to taxation in the City of Farmington Hills for the FY 2021/22 for general debt service requirements (all 0.6017 mills are from within the City Charter Limit) and to adopt the 2021/22 Debt Service Fund Budgets schedule as attached below.
- 5) That the City of Farmington Hills shall levy 2.0147 mills ad valorem tax on the Taxable Value of all Real and Personal Property subject to taxation in the City of Farmington Hills for the FY 2021/22 for Capital Improvements of which 0.4646 mills will be dedicated to Parks Development as approved by the electorate in August 2018

and to adopt the 2021/22 Capital Improvement Fund Budget and Parks & Recreation Capital Development Funds Budget as attached below.

- 6) That the City of Farmington Hills shall levy 3.0245 mills ad valorem (as approved by the electorate in November 2011 and November 2015) on the taxable value of all real and personal property subject to taxation in the City of Farmington Hills for the FY 2021/22 for the purposes of public safety.
- 7) That the City of Farmington Hills shall levy 0.7530 mills ad valorem tax on the Taxable Value of all Real and Personal Property subject to taxation in the City of Farmington Hills for the FY 2021/22 for refuse removal and disposal.
- 8) That the City of Farmington Hills shall levy 0.0134 mills ad valorem tax on the Taxable Value of all Real and Personal Property subject to taxation in the City of Farmington Hills for the FY 2021/22 for economic development and public information.
- 9) That the City of Farmington Hills shall levy 4.5775 mills ad valorem tax (as approved by the electorate in November 2014 and in November 2018) on the Taxable Value of all Real and Personal Property subject to taxation in the City of Farmington Hills for the FY 2021/22 for the City's local match to Major Road grant funded projects as well as preventative maintenance treatments on both Major and Local Roads.
- 10) That the City of Farmington Hills shall levy a total of 16.8566 mills ad valorem on the taxable value of all real and personal property subject to taxation in the City of Farmington Hills for FY 2021/22.
- 11) That the City of Farmington Hills estimates General Fund Revenues and transfers-in for the FY 2021/22 to total \$64,392,261, as follows:

Property Taxes	\$34,957,446
Business Licenses & Permits	\$25,242
Other Licenses & Permits	\$1,527,084
Grants	\$430,500
State Shared Revenues	\$8,917,832
Fees	\$6,366,760
Sales	\$308,319
Fines & Forfeitures	\$1,584,178
Interest Earnings	\$335,926
Recreation User Charges	\$5,810,416
Other Revenue	\$2,811,707
Operating Transfers In	\$1,316,850
Total Revenue + Transfers-in	<u>\$64,392,261</u>

12) That the City of Farmington Hills adopts the Special Revenue Funds Budgets for the FY 2021/22 as follows:

SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS SUMMARY

	Total Infrastructure Funds	Total Recreation Funds	Public Safety Funds	C.D.B.G Fund #275	Total Special Revenue Funds
FUND BALANCE AT JULY 1, 2021	\$7,769,064	\$555,662	\$3,481,524	\$0	\$11,806,250
REVENUES					
Property Taxes	16,871,066	1,688,230	11,137,627	0	29,696,923
Intergovernmental	10,865,870	311,722	196,582	1,084,992	12,459,166
Interest Income	170,642	3,500	63,528	400	238,070
Miscellaneous	190	152,692	0	50,000	202,882
Total Revenues	27,907,768	2,156,144	11,397,738	1,135,392	42,597,041
EXPENDITURES					
Highways & Streets	25,813,760	0	0	0	25,813,760
Public Safety	0	0	11,776,014	0	11,776,014
Debt Service - Principal	745,000	0	0	0	745,000
Debt Service - Interest	166,000	0	0	0	166,000
Land Acquisition, Capital Improvements and Other	129,300	1,052,075	258,200	1,135,392	2,574,967
Total Expenditures	26,854,060	1,052,075	12,034,214	1,135,392	41,075,741
Revenues over/(under) Expenditures	1,053,708	1,104,069	(636,476)	0	1,521,301
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES AND USES					
Transfers In	19,326,248	68,861	0	0	19,395,109
Transfers Out	(19,326,248)	(1,307,850)	0	0	(20,634,098)
Total	0	(1,238,989)	0	0	(1,238,989)
Excess Revenues and Other Financing Sources over/(under) Expenditures and Other Uses	1,053,708	(134,920)	(636,476)	0	282,312
FUND BALANCE AT JUNE 30, 2022	\$8,822,772	\$420,742	\$2,845,047	\$0	\$12,088,561

SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDS SUMMARY
--

	Municipal Street Fund #201	Major Roads Fund #202	Local Roads Fund #203	Total Infrastructure Funds
FUND BALANCE AT JULY 1, 2021	\$353,882	\$6,250,706	\$1,164,476	\$7,769,064
REVENUES				
Property Taxes	16,871,066	0	0	16,871,066
Intergovernmental	434,540	7,535,278	2,896,052	10,865,870
Interest Income	20,642	75,000	75,000	170,642
Miscellaneous	0	190	0	190
Total Revenues	17,326,248	7,610,468	2,971,052	27,907,768
EXPENDITURES				
Highways & Streets	0	11,050,355	14,763,405	25,813,760
Debt Service - Principal	0	0	745,000	745,000
Debt Service - Interest	0	0	166,000	166,000
Other	0	91,700	37,600	129,300
Total Expenditures	0	11,142,055	15,712,005	26,854,060
Revenues over/(under) Expenditures	17,326,248	(3,531,587)	(12,740,953)	1,053,708
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES AND USES				
Transfers In	0	4,851,349	14,474,898	19,326,248
Transfers Out	(17,326,248)	(2,000,000)	0	(19,326,248)
	(17,326,248)	2,851,349	14,474,898	0
Excess Revenues and Other Financing Sources over/(under) Expenditures and Other Uses	0	(680,238)	1,733,946	1,053,708
FUND BALANCE AT JUNE 30, 2022	\$353,882	\$5,570,469	\$2,898,421	\$8,822,772

<p>SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS RECREATION FUNDS SUMMARY</p>

	Nutrition Fund #281	Parks & Recreation Millage Fund #410	Total Recreation Funds
FUND BALANCE AT JULY 1, 2021	\$0	\$555,662	\$555,662
REVENUES			
Property Taxes	0	1,688,230	1,688,230
Intergovernmental	286,722	25,000	311,722
Interest Income	500	3,000	3,500
Miscellaneous	152,692	0	152,692
Total Revenues	439,914	1,716,230	2,156,144
EXPENDITURES			
Land Acquisition, Capital Improvements and Other	508,775	543,300	1,052,075
Total Expenditures	508,775	543,300	1,052,075
Revenues over/(under) Expenditures	(68,861)	1,172,930	1,104,069
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES AND USES			
Transfers In	68,861	0	68,861
Transfers Out	0	(1,307,850)	(1,307,850)
Total	68,861	(1,307,850)	(1,238,989)
Excess Revenues and Other Financing Sources over/(under) Expenditures and Other Uses	0	(134,920)	(134,920)
FUND BALANCE AT JUNE 30, 2022	\$0	\$420,742	\$420,742

<p>SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS PUBLIC SAFETY FUNDS SUMMARY</p>
--

	Public Safety Fund #205	Federal Forfeiture Fund #213	State Forfeiture Fund #214	Total Public Safety Funds
FUND BALANCE AT JULY 1, 2021	2,339,089	\$901,348	\$241,087	\$3,481,524
REVENUES				
Property Taxes	11,137,627	0	0	11,137,627
Intergovernmental	196,582	0	0	196,582
Interest Income	62,528	0	1,000	63,528
Miscellaneous	0	0	0	0
Total Revenues	11,396,738	0	1,000	11,397,738
EXPENDITURES				
Public Safety	11,580,508	183,556	11,950	11,776,014
Land Acquisition, Capital Improvements and Other	8,000	250,200	0	258,200
Total Expenditures	11,588,508	433,756	11,950	12,034,214
Revenues over/(under) Expenditures	(191,770)	(433,756)	(10,950)	(636,476)
Excess Revenues and Other Financing Sources over/(under) Expenditures and Other Uses	(191,770)	(433,756)	(10,950)	(636,476)
FUND BALANCE AT JUNE 30, 2022	\$2,147,318	\$467,592	\$230,137	\$2,845,047

- 13) That the City of Farmington Hills adopts the 2021/22 Debt Service Fund Budgets as follows:

DEBT SERVICE FUNDS SUMMARY

	General Debt Service Fund #301	Building Authority Debt Fund #662	Total Debt Service Funds
FUND BALANCE AT JULY 1, 2021	\$83,656	\$0	\$83,656
REVENUES			
Interest Income	200	0	200
Intergovernmental Revenues	50,000	0	50,000
Total Revenues	50,200	0	50,200
EXPENDITURES			
Bond Principal Payments	1,525,000	0	1,525,000
Interest and Fiscal Charges	714,936	0	714,936
Miscellaneous	2,500	0	2,500
Total Expenditures	2,242,436	0	2,242,436
Revenues over/(under) Expenditures	(2,192,236)	0	(2,192,236)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES AND USES			
Transfers In			
-General Fund	2,239,935	0	2,239,935
Total Transfers In	2,239,935	0	2,239,935
Total Other Financing Sources and Uses	2,239,935	0	2,239,935
Excess Revenues and Other Financing Sources over/(under) Expenditures and Other Uses	47,699	0	47,699
FUND BALANCE AT JUNE 30, 2022	\$131,355	\$0	\$131,355

- 14) That the City of Farmington Hills adopts the 2021/22 Capital Projects Funds Budgets as follows:

CAPITAL PROJECTS FUNDS SUMMARY

	Capital Improvement Fund #404	Community Center Renovations Fund #406	Total Capital Project Funds
FUND BALANCE AT JULY 1, 2021	\$3,240,261	\$256,893	\$3,497,154
REVENUES			
Interest Income	30,000	30,000	60,000
Total Revenues	30,000	30,000	60,000
EXPENDITURES			
Public Facilities	1,383,000	1,250,000	2,633,000
Drainage	2,524,000	0	2,524,000
Sidewalks	963,000	0	963,000
Equipment	4,706,000	0	4,706,000
Administration & Miscellaneous	500	300	800
Total Expenditures	9,576,500	1,250,300	10,826,800
Revenues over/(under)			
Expenditures	(9,546,500)	(1,220,300)	(10,766,800)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES AND USES			
Transfer from General Fund	6,500,000	1,000,000	7,500,000
Total Other Financing Sources and Uses	6,500,000	1,000,000	7,500,000
Revenues and Other Financing Sources Over/(Under)			
Expenditures and Other Uses	(3,046,500)	(220,300)	(3,266,800)
FUND BALANCE AT JUNE 30, 2022	\$193,761	\$36,593	\$230,354

- 15) That the City of Farmington Hills adopts the 2021/22 Component Unit Funds Budgets as follows:

COMPONENT UNIT FUNDS SUMMARY

	Corridor Improvement Authority Fund #242	Brownfield Redevelopment Authority Fund #243	Total Component Units
FUND BALANCE AT JULY 1, 2021	\$308,464	\$977,730	\$1,286,194
REVENUES			
Property Taxes	130,491	366,341	496,833
Intergovernmental	0	0	0
Interest Income	306	16,640	16,946
Total Revenues	130,797	382,981	513,778
EXPENDITURES			
Audit Fees	100	0	100
Marketing	0	0	0
Business Improvement Grant	0	0	0
Miscellaneous/Others	0	400	400
Consultants	0	0	0
Total Expenditures	100	400	500
Revenues over/(under) Expenditures	130,697	382,581	513,278
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES AND USES			
Transfer to General Fund	0	(9,000)	(9,000)
Total Transfers Out	0	(9,000)	(9,000)
Total Other Financing Sources and Uses	0	(9,000)	(9,000)
Excess Revenues and Other Financing Sources over/(under) Expenditures and Other Uses	130,697	373,581	504,278
FUND BALANCE AT JUNE 30, 2022	\$439,161	\$1,351,311	\$1,790,472

- 16) That the City Council hereby authorizes the City Manager to make budgetary transfers within the appropriation centers established through the budget and that all transfers between appropriation centers may be made only by further action by the City Council, pursuant to the provisions of the Michigan Uniform Accounting and Budgeting Act.
- 17) That the FY 2021/22 Budgets of the General Fund, Special Revenue Funds and Capital Projects Funds shall be automatically amended on July 1, 2021 to re-appropriate fund balances for certain outstanding encumbrances and/or available capital project budget balances at June 30, 2021, as authorized by the City Manager.
- 18) That the City Council hereby authorizes the City Manager to assign General Fund – fund balance for future City budget amendment appropriations, which may be made only by further action by the City Council, pursuant to the provisions of the Michigan Uniform Accounting and Budgeting Act.
- 19) That the FY 2020/21 departmental and activity budget amounts for the General Fund be amended to the following estimated revenues and projected actual expenditures as reflected in the budget document submitted for FY 2021/22, as may be updated by the Finance Director:

Revenues	
Property Taxes	\$34,086,043
Business Licenses & Permits	\$24,747
Other Licenses & Permits	\$1,836,089
Grants	\$5,620,500
State Shared Revenues	\$8,742,973
Fees	\$6,980,141
Sales	\$302,274
Fines & Forfeitures	\$1,553,115
Interest Earnings	\$332,600
Recreation User Charges	\$3,298,344
Other Revenue	\$2,756,576
Operating Transfers In	\$1,316,850
Total Revenue + Transfers-in	<u>\$66,850,252</u>
Expenditures	
Boards & Commissions	\$2,682,394
General Government	\$11,125,553
Public Safety	\$21,992,495
Planning & Community Development	\$1,852,956
Public Services	\$7,904,541
Special Services	\$8,039,703
Operating Transfers Out	\$9,268,861
Total Expenditures + Transfers-out	<u>\$62,866,504</u>

20) That the FY 2020/21 Special Revenue Funds Budgets be amended to the following estimated revenues and projected actual expenditures as reflected in the budget document submitted for FY 2021/22, as may be updated by the Finance Director:

SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS

	Total Infrastructure Funds	Total Recreation Funds	Public Safety Funds	C.D.B.G Fund #275	Total Special Revenue Funds
FUND BALANCE AT JULY 1, 2020	\$8,566,856	\$1,000,731	\$3,522,037	\$0	\$13,089,624
REVENUES					
Property Taxes	16,507,895	1,651,870	10,897,875	0	29,057,640
Intergovernmental	10,534,812	309,730	299,692	705,783	11,850,017
Interest Income	170,237	2,276	62,302	300	235,115
Miscellaneous	190	162,552	18,471	125,000	306,213
Total Revenues	27,213,135	2,126,428	11,278,341	831,083	41,448,986
EXPENDITURES					
Highways & Streets	26,896,081	0	0	0	26,896,081
Public Safety	0	0	10,864,807	0	10,864,807
Debt Service - Principal	740,000	0	0	0	740,000
Debt Service - Interest	187,000	0	0	0	187,000
Land Acquisition, Capital Improvements and Other	110,120	1,220,508	454,047	831,083	2,615,758
Total Expenditures	27,933,201	1,220,508	11,318,854	831,083	41,303,646
Revenues over/(under) Expenditures	(720,067)	905,920	(40,513)	0	145,339
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES AND USES					
Transfers In	16,690,357	68,861	0	0	16,759,218
Transfers Out	(16,768,082)	(1,419,850)	0	0	(18,187,932)
Total	(77,725)	(1,350,989)	0	0	(1,428,714)
Excess Revenues and Other Financing Sources over/(under) Expenditures and Other Uses	(797,792)	(445,069)	(40,513)	0	(1,283,375)
FUND BALANCE AT JUNE 30, 2021	\$7,769,064	\$555,662	\$3,481,524	\$0	\$11,806,250

SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDS SUMMARY
--

	Municipal Street Fund #201	Major Roads Fund #202	Local Roads Fund #203	Total Infrastructure Funds
FUND BALANCE AT JULY 1, 2020	\$90,088	\$3,003,878	\$5,472,890	\$8,566,856
REVENUES				
Property Taxes	16,507,895	0	0	16,507,895
Intergovernmental	426,019	7,291,248	2,817,545	10,534,812
Interest Income	20,237	75,000	75,000	170,237
Miscellaneous	0	190	0	190
Total Revenues	16,954,152	7,366,438	2,892,545	27,213,135
EXPENDITURES				
Highways & Streets	0	8,710,290	18,185,792	26,896,081
Debt Service - Principal	0	0	740,000	740,000
Debt Service - Interest	0	0	187,000	187,000
Other	0	82,620	27,500	110,120
Total Expenditures	0	8,792,910	19,140,292	27,933,201
Revenues over/(under) Expenditures	16,954,152	(1,426,472)	(16,247,747)	(720,067)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES AND USES				
Transfers In	0	4,673,300	12,017,057	16,690,357
Transfers Out	(16,690,357)	0	(77,725)	(16,768,082)
	(16,690,357)	4,673,300	11,939,332	(77,725)
Excess Revenues and Other Financing Sources over/(under) Expenditures and Other Uses	263,795	3,246,828	(4,308,415)	(797,792)
FUND BALANCE AT JUNE 30, 2021	\$353,882	\$6,250,706	\$1,164,476	\$7,769,064

SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS RECREATION FUNDS SUMMARY
--

	Nutrition Fund #281	Parks & Recreation Millage Fund #410	Total Recreation Funds
FUND BALANCE AT JULY 1, 2020	\$0	\$1,000,731	\$1,000,731
REVENUES			
Property Taxes	0	1,651,870	1,651,870
Intergovernmental	287,371	22,359	309,730
Interest Income	500	1,776	2,276
Miscellaneous	152,692	9,860	162,552
Total Revenues	440,563	1,685,865	2,126,428
EXPENDITURES			
Land Acquisition, Capital Improvements and Other	509,424	711,084	1,220,508
Total Expenditures	509,424	711,084	1,220,508
Revenues over/(under) Expenditures	(68,861)	974,781	905,920
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES AND USES			
Transfers In	68,861	0	68,861
Transfers Out	0	(1,419,850)	(1,419,850)
Total	68,861	(1,419,850)	(1,350,989)
Excess Revenues and Other Financing Sources over/(under) Expenditures and Other Uses	0	(445,069)	(445,069)
FUND BALANCE AT JUNE 30, 2021	\$0	\$555,662	\$555,662

SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS PUBLIC SAFETY FUNDS SUMMARY

	Public Safety Fund #205	Federal Forfeiture Fund #213	State Forfeiture Fund #214	Total Public Safety Funds
FUND BALANCE AT JULY 1, 2020	2,497,389	\$817,375	\$207,273	\$3,522,037
REVENUES				
Property Taxes	10,897,875	0	0	10,897,875
Intergovernmental	192,728	72,000	34,964	299,692
Interest Income	61,302	0	1,000	62,302
Miscellaneous	0	18,471	0	18,471
Total Revenues	11,151,905	90,471	35,964	11,278,341
EXPENDITURES				
Public Safety	10,856,657	6,000	2,150	10,864,807
Land Acquisition, Capital Improvements and Other	453,549	498	0	454,047
Total Expenditures	11,310,206	6,498	2,150	11,318,854
Revenues over/(under) Expenditures	(158,301)	83,973	33,814	(40,513)
Excess Revenues and Other Financing Sources over/(under) Expenditures and Other Uses	(158,301)	83,973	33,814	(40,513)
FUND BALANCE AT JUNE 30, 2021	\$2,339,089	\$901,348	\$241,087	\$3,481,524

- 21) That the FY 2020/21 Debt Service Funds Budgets be amended to the following estimated revenues and projected actual expenditures as reflected in the budget document submitted for FY 2021/22, as may be updated by the Finance Director:

DEBT SERVICE FUNDS SUMMARY

	General Debt Service Fund #301	Building Authority Debt Fund #662	Total Debt Service Funds
FUND BALANCE AT JULY 1, 2020	\$273,328	\$264	\$273,592
REVENUES			
Interest Income	200	0	200
Proceeds from Bond Sale	4,125,000	0	4,125,000
Intergovernmental Revenues	223,252	0	223,252
Total Revenues	4,348,452	0	4,348,452
EXPENDITURES			
Bond Principal Payments	1,405,000	1,000,000	2,405,000
Interest and Fiscal Charges	764,113	19,500	783,613
Transfer to Escrow Agent	4,079,799	0	4,079,799
Miscellaneous	47,701	0	47,701
Total Expenditures	6,296,613	1,019,500	7,316,113
Revenues over/(under) Expenditures	(1,948,161)	(1,019,500)	(2,967,661)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES AND USES			
Transfers In			
-General Fund	2,700,000	0	2,700,000
-Local Road Fund	77,725	0	77,725
-General Debt Fund	0	1,019,236	1,019,236
Total Transfers In	2,777,725	1,019,236	3,796,961
Transfers Out			
-Building Authority Fund	(1,019,236)	0	(1,019,236)
Total Transfers out	(1,019,236)	0	(1,019,236)
Total Other Financing Sources and Uses	1,758,489	1,019,236	2,777,725
Excess Revenues and Other Financing Sources over/(under) Expenditures and Other Uses	(189,672)	(264)	(189,936)
FUND BALANCE AT JUNE 30, 2021	\$83,656	\$0	\$83,656

22) That the FY 2020/21 Capital Projects Funds Budgets be amended to the following estimated revenues and projected actual expenditures as reflected in the budget document submitted for FY 2021/22, as may be updated by the Finance Director:

CAPITAL PROJECTS FUNDS SUMMARY

	Capital Improvement Fund #404	Community Center Renovations Fund #406	Total Capital Project Funds
FUND BALANCE AT JULY 1, 2020	\$5,485,307	\$15,953,360	\$21,438,667
REVENUES			
Interest on Investments	30,000	30,000	60,000
Sale of Fixed Assets	6,091	0	6,091
Grants	792,011	0	792,011
Donation	235,000	105,110	340,110
Miscellaneous	57,217	0	57,217
Total Revenues	1,120,319	135,110	1,255,429
EXPENDITURES			
Public Facilities	2,105,761	16,831,839	18,937,600
Drainage	2,407,041	0	2,407,041
Sidewalks	115,019	0	115,019
Equipment	5,349,144	879,600	6,228,744
Administration & Miscellaneous	400	260	660
Total Expenditures	9,977,365	17,711,699	27,689,064
Revenues over/(under)			
Expenditures	(8,857,046)	(17,576,589)	(26,433,635)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES AND USES			
Parks Millage Fund	112,000	318,216	430,216
Transfer from Brownfield Redevelopment	0	1,561,906	1,561,906
Transfer from General Fund	6,500,000	0	6,500,000
Total Other Financing Sources and Uses	6,612,000	1,880,122	8,492,122
Revenues and Other Financing Sources Over/(Under)			
Expenditures and Other Uses	(2,245,046)	(15,696,467)	(17,941,513)
FUND BALANCE AT JUNE 30, 2021	\$3,240,261	\$256,893	\$3,497,154

- 23) That the FY 2020/21 Component Units Funds Budgets be amended to the following estimated revenues and projected actual expenditures as reflected in the budget document submitted for FY 2021/22, as may be updated by the Finance Director:

COMPONENT UNITS FUNDS SUMMARY

	Corridor Improvement Authority Fund #242	Brownfield Redevelopment Authority Fund #243	Total Component Units
FUND BALANCE AT JULY 1, 2020	\$180,627	\$2,199,177	\$2,379,804
REVENUES			
Property Taxes	127,682	358,455	486,138
Grant	0	0	0
Interest Income	300	16,313	16,613
Total Revenues	127,982	374,769	502,751
EXPENDITURES			
Audit Fees	45	310	355
Capital Outlay	0	0	0
Miscellaneous	100	25,000	25,100
Total Expenditures	145	25,310	25,455
Revenues over/(under)			
Expenditures	127,837	349,459	477,296
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES AND USES			
Transfers Out-Community Center Renc	0	(1,561,906)	(1,561,906)
Transfers Out-General Fund	0	(9,000)	(9,000)
Total Transfers out	0	(1,570,906)	(1,570,906)
Total Other Financing Sources and Uses	0	(1,570,906)	(1,570,906)
Excess Revenues and Other Financing Sources over/(under)			
Expenditures and Other Uses	127,837	(1,221,447)	(1,093,610)
FUND BALANCE AT JUNE 30, 2021	\$308,464	\$977,730	\$1,286,194

Roll Call Vote:

Yeas: BARNETT, BOLEWARE, KNOL, MASSEY, NEWLIN AND STRICKFADEN
Nays: NONE
Absent: BRIDGES
Abstentions: NONE

MOTION CARRIED 6-0-1-0.

RECOMMENDED APPROVAL OF REQUEST FOR EMPLOYMENT OF A LIFEGUARD UNDER SECTION 10.01A OF THE CITY CODE.

MOTION by Massey, support by Strickfaden, that the City Council of Farmington Hills hereby approves the request for employment under Section 10.01A of the City Code for Ian Ledermann as a Lifeguard in the Special Services Department. Ian is the brother of Michelle Ledermann who is a Lifeguard/Swim Instructor for Special Services.

Roll Call Vote:

Yeas: BARNETT, BOLEWARE, KNOL, MASSEY, NEWLIN AND STRICKFADEN
Nays: NONE
Absent: BRIDGES
Abstentions: NONE

MOTION CARRIED 6-0-1-0.

RECOMMENDED APPROVAL OF REQUEST FOR EMPLOYMENT OF AN AQUATIC ATTENDANT UNDER SECTION 10.01A OF THE CITY CODE.

MOTION by Massey, support by Strickfaden, that the City Council of Farmington Hills hereby approves the request for employment under Section 10.01A of the City Code for Megan Armstrong as an Aquatic Attendant in the Special Services Department. Megan is related to Addison Armstrong who is an Aquatic Attendant for Special Services.

Roll Call Vote:

Yeas: BARNETT, BOLEWARE, KNOL, MASSEY, NEWLIN AND STRICKFADEN
Nays: NONE
Absent: BRIDGES
Abstentions: NONE

MOTION CARRIED 6-0-1-0.

RECOMMENDED APPROVAL OF REQUEST FOR EMPLOYMENT OF A HAWK CONCESSIONS ATTENDANT UNDER SECTION 10.01A OF THE CITY CODE.

MOTION by Massey, support by Strickfaden, that the City Council of Farmington Hills hereby approves the request for employment under Section 10.01A of the City Code for Ivy Jefferies as a Hawk Concessions Attendant in the Special Services Department. Ivy is the sister of Camille Jefferies who is a Lifeguard for Special Services.

Roll Call Vote:

Yeas: BARNETT, BOLEWARE, KNOL, MASSEY, NEWLIN AND STRICKFADEN
Nays: NONE
Absent: BRIDGES
Abstentions: NONE

MOTION CARRIED 6-0-1-0.

**RECOMMENDED APPROVAL OF THE CITY COUNCIL JOINT STUDY SESSION MEETING
MINUTES OF JUNE 14, 2021.**

MOTION by Massey, support by Strickfaden, that the City Council of Farmington Hills hereby approves the City Council study session meeting minutes of June 4, 2021.

Roll Call Vote:

Yeas: BARNETT, BOLEWARE, KNOL, MASSEY, NEWLIN AND STRICKFADEN
Nays: NONE
Absent: BRIDGES
Abstentions: NONE

MOTION CARRIED 6-0-1-0.

**RECOMMENDED APPROVAL OF THE CITY COUNCIL REGULAR SESSION MEETING
MINUTES OF JUNE 14, 2021.**

MOTION by Massey, support by Strickfaden, that the City Council of Farmington Hills hereby approves the City Council regular session meeting minutes of June 4, 2021.

Roll Call Vote:

Yeas: BARNETT, BOLEWARE, KNOL, MASSEY, NEWLIN AND STRICKFADEN
Nays: NONE
Absent: BRIDGES
Abstentions: NONE

MOTION CARRIED 6-0-1-0.

ADDITIONS TO AGENDA

None.

ADJOURNMENT

MOTION by Massey, support by Newlin, to adjourn the regular session meeting at 10:41pm.

Roll Call Vote:

Yeas: BARNETT, BOLEWARE, KNOL, MASSEY, NEWLIN AND STRICKFADEN
Nays: NONE
Absent: BRIDGES
Abstentions: NONE

MOTION CARRIED 6-0-1-0.

Respectfully submitted,



Pamela B. Smith, City Clerk