MINUTES CITY COUNCIL MEETING CITY OF FARMINGTON HILLS APRIL 11, 2016 – 7:30 PM CITY HALL – COUNCIL CHAMBER

The regular meeting of the Farmington Hills City Council was called to order by Mayor Massey at 7:35 p.m.

Council Members Present: Bridges, Bruce, Knol, Lerner, Massey, Rich and Steckloff

Council Members Absent: None

Others Present: City Manager Boyer, City Clerk Smith, Assistant City Manager Mekjian,

Directors Gajda, Lasley and Schnackel and City Attorney Joppich

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

County Commissioner Gershenson led the pledge of allegiance.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

MOTION by Bridges, support by Knol, to approve the agenda as published.

MOTION CARRIED 7-0.

EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS COMMISSION "TIP OF THE MONTH"

The following Tip of the Month was read by Emergency Preparedness Commission Member, Robert York:

EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS COMMISSION TIP OF THE MONTH APRIL 2016

This month the Farmington Hills Emergency Preparedness Commission is emphasizing knowledge, understanding and preparedness for chemical and biological hazardous events.

Every year, the Farmington Hills Fire Department responds to a number of situations involving hazardous material spills. Most of these are a result of vehicle accidents involving automotive fluids such as gasoline, diesel fuel, oil and antifreeze.

During a hazardous material incident involving danger to the public, an order may be given to evacuate. Be sure to have all-hazards supply (72 hr.) kit, family communication plan, and stay tuned to local radio or television station for information and instructions from local health officials. Every situation can be different, so local emergency coordinators might have special instructions for you to follow. Listen carefully to radio or television emergency alert stations (EAS), and strictly follow instructions. Be prepared to act quickly and follow official instructions, your life could depend on it.

Chemical exposures are not always large events and often happen at home. Fertilizers, cleaners, and other household toxins can result in accidental exposure. If you come in contact with a hazardous chemical:

- Quickly remove clothing that has a chemical on it. Any clothing that has to be pulled over your head should be cut off instead of being pulled over your head.
- As quickly as possible, wash any chemicals from your skin with large amounts of soap and water.
- If your eyes are burning or your vision is blurred, rinse your eyes with plain water for 10 to 15

minutes.

• Call 911 and seek medical attention.

If you witness (or smell) a hazardous materials accident, please call 9-1-1 immediately. Stay away from the incident site. Try to stay upstream, uphill and upwind from the accident, and evacuate the danger area. If you are in a car, keep car windows and vents closed and shut off the air conditioner and heater.

For more information visit the EPC information site at: www.FHReady.com.

<u>PROCLAMATION RECOGNIZING APRIL 10 – 16, 2016 AS SEVERE WEATHER</u> AWARENESS WEEK

The following proclamation was read by Councilmember Rich and accepted by James Neufeld, Fire Department:

PROCLAMATION Severe Weather Awareness Week April 10 – 16, 2016

WHEREAS, Governor Rick Snyder and Oakland County Executive L. Brooks Patterson have

declared the week of April 10 – 16, 2016 as Severe Weather Awareness Week in

Michigan; and

WHEREAS, the Oakland County Homeland Security Division will be engaged in various

public education efforts during Severe Weather Awareness Week to help minimize the loss of life and destruction of property that can result from severe

weather; and

WHEREAS, to further raise the awareness level of severe weather safety and to promote

tornado safety preparedness, Oakland County will conduct a special test of its Outdoor Warning System sirens on **Wednesday**, **April 13**, **2016 at 1 p.m.**

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that I, Ken Massey, Mayor of the City of Farmington Hills, on behalf of the City Council, do hereby proclaim April 10 - 16, 2016 as **Severe Weather Awareness Week** in Farmington Hills and encourage all residents, schools, and businesses to test their severe weather action plans to ensure the safety of everyone in our community.

CORRESPONDENCE

Councilmember Steckloff acknowledged correspondence regarding Independence Commons Subdivision Special Assessment that had been forwarded on to the City Clerk.

Mayor Massey acknowledged correspondence from Mr. and Mrs. Norman regarding storm water run-off that has been provided to staff to address as well as information regarding the Memorial Day Parade scheduled for May 30th.

CONSENT AGENDA

MOTION by Lerner, support by Bridges, to approve the Consent Agenda as submitted.

Roll Call Vote:

Yeas: BRIDGES, BRUCE, KNOL, LERNER, MASSEY, RICH AND STECKLOFF

Nays: NONE Absent: NONE

Abstentions: NONE

MOTION CARRIED 7-0.

PUBLIC OUESTIONS AND COMMENTS

There were no public questions or comments.

COUNCIL MEMBER'S COMMENTS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

The following comments and announcements were made:

- Children, Youth and Family Technology night was a success
- Memorial Day Parade will be held May 30th
- Charity fundraisers include:
 - o Children, Youth and Families Annual Gala on April 16th
 - Neighborhood House Annual Fundraiser Gala from 4-7pm at the Longacre House on April 21st
 - Team Farmington Special Olympics Bowl-A-Thon on April 23rd at Drakeshire Lanes at 11am
 - Xemplar Club Annual Euchre Tournament Fundraiser at 5pm on April 23rd at the Costick Center
- City-wide Neighborhood Watch meeting in the Council chamber on April 19th at 7pm
- Farmington Hills Litter Clean Up Day is April 23rd
- Drop-off for old prescription drugs at the Police Department is scheduled for April 30th from 10am-2pm
- Councilmember Rich's 2nd Sunday Stroll will be May 8th at 1:30pm starting at the Farmington Hills Library
- Kona Cheesecake Run is scheduled for Sunday, April 17th
- Festival of the Arts free family event on April 16th at the Costick Center
- Yard Waste pick-up started today, April 11th
- Hazmat Day is scheduled for May 7th from 9am-2pm at OCC
- April 16th Youth dance recital at 5pm at the Mercy Center
- Special School Election for Clarenceville School District ballot proposal (Farmington Hills Precinct 27) is scheduled for May 3, 2016.

CITY MANAGER UPDATE

City Manager Boyer mentioned the following:

- Earth Day celebration on April 22nd from 5-7pm at Heritage Park
- Oakland County Water Resources Commission Town Hall Meeting on April 21st from 6:30pm-8:30pm at the Costick Center

PUBLIC HEARING:

PUBLIC HEARING AND CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF A RESOLUTION CONFIRMING THE ASSESSMENT ROLL FOR EITHER THE ASPHALT OPTION OR CONCRETE OPTION FOR INDEPENDENCE COMMONS SUBDIVISION ROAD REHABILITATION PROJECT, SPECIAL ASSESSMENT DISTRICT C-345, AND ESTABLISHING THE TERMS OF THE ASSESSMENT PERIOD. CMR 4-16-39

Mayor Massey explained the purpose and procedures for the public hearing.

Assistant City Manager Mekjian explained that the hearing of determination was held on September 28, 2015, bids were open on February 12, 2016 and on February 22, 2016 City Council set the 2nd public hearing date for this evening. He noted that on March 23, 2016, the city hosted an open house for all affected

residents and approximately 70+ residents were in attendance. Mr. Mekjian stated that there were a number of questions that were similar in nature at the meetings so staff has prepared a question and answer presentation to hopefully answer all of those questions for residents this evening. Mr. Mekjian went through the questions and answers presentation.

Councilmember Steckloff commented that both concrete and asphalt options were being discussed this evening and questioned the differences as far as benefits and longevity.

Mr. Mekjian responded that staff believes there would be as good as a life cycle with asphalt as there would be with concrete.

Councilmember Bridges inquired about the cost of maintenance for both options to ensure that 20 year life cycle and if the perception is that there is more longevity with concrete. He also inquired why asphalt is more competitive now in cost.

Nancy Faught, Hubbell, Roth and Clark (HRC) Engineering Consultants, indicated that HRC has estimated \$600,000 in maintenance costs for asphalt roads over 20 years versus \$380,000 for concrete roads over the same period. She stated that concrete is a rigid pavement and does not flex as asphalt does and they act differently in winter weather. She agreed concrete is more durable and you pay for that in up-front costs, but they are less costly to maintain.

Mr. Mekjian responded that asphalt is more competitive in cost due in large part to the base needed for the more flexible pavement and the unit prices are higher than estimated for this project particularly for concrete due to the regional demands for concrete at this time.

City Attorney Joppich added that the recommendation by staff for asphalt was based on the cost savings and part of the standard that is reviewed in determining whether the special assessment amount is reasonable and not disproportionate to the value of the property. Comments and concerns over the costs were also expressed by residents and many of the letters stated that they felt the costs were disproportionate to the increase in property values; so asphalt was being recommended to address those concerns and questions of reasonableness.

Councilmember Steckloff asked staff to address the differences in accessibility to homes during construction with asphalt versus concrete.

City Engineer Jim Cubera responded that asphalt would allow for easier access to homes as concrete takes longer to cure and access would be limited for a longer period of time.

Councilmember Rich commented that in e-mails and letters received only one homeowner voiced a preference for asphalt and others preferred concrete. She inquired if this was the same that was heard at the open house. She also mentioned that most of the driveways appeared to be concrete. Mr. Cubera confirmed that most residents voiced a preference for concrete at the higher cost versus asphalt at a lower cost and he confirmed that almost all of the driveways in the subdivision are concrete. He noted that 73 homeowners were in attendance at the open house.

Councilmember Bridges inquired how the district was determined. Mr. Cubera answered that road projects are analyzed by subdivision with all roads in the subdivision being rated and the subdivision as a whole is then provided with an average PASER rating. Staff typically would not propose to divide subdivisions in half or in thirds when determining a district. This same method was used for Independence Commons Subdivision.

5

Mayor Massey opened the public hearing. He acknowledged that many letters and e-mails were received by residents regarding this project and they were provided to the City Clerk to put into the record. A list of all residents has been created for those that have submitted letters and e-mails received to this point and if residents wished to confirm that their letter or e-mail was received, they could check with staff at this time to

Mayor Massey discussed public hearing procedures.

writing.

Marianne Weiss, 36090 Crompton Circle, stated that she is not objecting to the paving of the roads or that the base needs to be redone, but voiced her opposition to paying the proposed costs that included drainage work. She did not feel the drainage issues would be addressed with this project and that an ordinance change could fix some of their drainage problems and issues with sump pumps. She commented that she would not mind paying reasonable costs for repairing the roads, but does not feel the proposed costs are fair.

make sure their name is on the list. Those residents are still welcome to speak, but are not required to speak during the public hearing to be included in the record if they have already submitted their comments in

Charles Garavaglia, Liberty Lane, stated that he is against the project. He commented that the city stated they could not pay additional costs into the project due to the Charter that limits the city's portion to 20% and inquired how the city would pay for the project if the residents appeal to the Michigan Tax Tribunal (MTT) and the city loses that appeal. He also inquired how the bond holders would feel if they knew how many residents were against the project. Mr. Garavaglia feels this project is being rushed. He welcomed other neighbors to join the neighborhood in conducting their own study and appealing this matter at the tax tribunal as a group. He feels the amount being charged to each home is not fair.

Roseanne Boley, 35739 Congress, stated that she was told that approximately \$1,800 would be due this December and she does not have the money and will need a deferment application and would like to know where to obtain that form. She also wondered if there would be additional costs since she has a brick paver driveway.

Bryan Callies, 25293 Hopkins, voiced his opposition to the costs on record.

Charles Nagy, 36235 Crompton Circle, commented on a 2005 proposal for road improvements mentioned by Mr. Mekjian that cost \$6,000 at the time with a 20-year life cycle and that is a big difference in the costs proposed today. He questioned how Independence Commons came to be #1 on the list of proposed road projects when it originally started out at #49. He is curious if anyone on City Council was an engineer as he is concerned whether Council had the tools to make this decision for the residents or spent time to understand the costs and terms involved.

Mayor Massey indicated that Council had done their due diligence to study and understand the project.

Dave Imesch, 25665 Livingston Circle, stated that he has heard a couple of different figures and would like to obtain the correct figure per unit.

Donna Mistele, 36277 Old Homestead, stated that she wrote a letter in opposition to the assessment but also wanted to speak. She inquired what rates other subdivisions are paying for their road assessments. She commented that the residents were told that the bids almost always come in lower than the estimated costs and in this case they have come in higher. She also heard different ratings on the roads and questioned why this project was pushed to #1 on the list of proposed projects.

6

Christopher Brennan, 35745 Johnstown, commented that he moved in less than 5 years ago and therefore, is one resident that would not be eligible for deferment. He inquired if staff and Council have reviewed how this will affect property values and if this would increase the value of the homes.

Yves Guillou, 36219 Congress, commented that he feels the costs are much higher than what residents should be asked to pay based on his research.

Patricia McKenna, 35676 Johnstown, voiced her objection to the amount of the assessment.

Georgia Callis, 35478 Old Homestead, inquired when the assessment would be added to the tax bills. She stated that her house is going into foreclosure and she feels the Council made a very quick determination to proceed at the last hearing after residents sat there for hours.

Pamela Horn, 25686 Livingston, commented that asphalt will not help with the resale on homes and she feels that concrete should be used. She also does not feel the drainage work should be included in the project. She questioned the proposed maintenance schedule for the pavement if asphalt was used. She informed Council that residents have also been notified that gas lines will be replaced in their area.

Ava-Marie Latimer, Congress Court, asked when the first payment will be due and the estimated cost so that residents can be prepared.

John Rappaport, 35709 Johnstown, inquired when the project was approved as the assessment was not disclosed to him when he bought his house in November, 2015, and he was not prepared for this added expense. He also inquired if he had any recourse from a legal perspective.

Dennis Pheney, 36224 Old Homestead, commented that the roads have lasted their useful life and if they had been replaced when they should have, it would have been at half the cost. He stated that the roads are now in deplorable condition and Council has been told the roads are dangerous and he feels the city should consider their liability and address the issue.

Alfred Diebel, 25378 Crown Point Ct., stated that he is opposed to the project as he feels the proposed costs are disproportionate to any increase in market value of the property. He suggested that public use of the roads is taken into consideration. Mr. Diebel mentioned case law where special assessments have been challenged such as Dixon Road Group v. the City of Novi where the assessment was found to be disproportionate and ratios were established.

Phil Callcut, Glover Court, expressed his preference for concrete over asphalt and inquired if there would be a reduction in property taxes to residents if the roads were predicted to last 20 years and little maintenance should be needed in the first 10 years. He also heard that a significant amount of the cost is the base and questioned why they need a new base when the current roads lasted over 47 years.

Allie Pearson, 35819 Johnstown, questioned if the city had considered a subordination lien for the special assessment so that it is easier for homeowners to transfer payments to the buyer or to re-finance their homes.

Mayor Massey inquired if there were any further comments. He closed the public hearing. Seeing more people starting to approach the podium he re-opened the public hearing and encouraged residents to come up and stand in line at the podium if they wish to speak.

Dennis Mikel, 35873 Johnstown, stated that he has a corner lot and one of the roads is not used and has no houses on it and inquired if that could be taken into consideration when determining his costs.

Dan Lenda, 35912 Old Homestead, stated that he is an engineer and feels that asphalt is not a good idea. He also pointed out that engineering did not originally support asphalt and he does not understand why that is now an option. He stated that he will protest the costs to the MTT. He stated that it is time for leadership and for the city to come up with creative solutions for these road projects as the current method is not working.

Marilyn Smith, 36173 Crompton Circle, stated that she is opposed to the costs and cannot afford this assessment. She inquired if this is approved, the type of notice residents would receive regarding starting dates, parking, mail and trash pick-up, etc.

Joe McEvoy, 25470 Liberty Lane, commented that back in September there was a lot of emotion from residents and since that time, residents have been doing their research and an evaluation expert wants to charge the residents \$15,000 to determine if there would be added value to the homes once the roads were complete. He inquired if the city hired this type of evaluation expert to determine the value and costs to the homeowners. He thanked City Council for their time but asked for their collective intelligence and knowledge to find a creative solution. He added that it was his understanding that only those residents that appeal, if approved, would receive a lesser assessment; unless the MTT finds an issue with the entire process.

Steve Brown, 35767 Congress, stated that he is an Engineer by education and profession and has heard tonight that this project is all or nothing. He does not believe a new sewer system is needed with this project. He added that Council has heard of hardships by the residents and he asked that they swallow their pride and reconsider this project.

Roseanne Boley, 35739 Congress, commented that she had just refinanced her home to catch up on payments and now she will once again be behind.

There being no further comments, Mayor Massey closed the public hearing.

Mayor Massey explained that Council and staff will first address the questions of the residents and then take Council comments. He stated that the first question was with regard to what percentage of the costs for drainage and why that is needed.

Assistant City Manager Mekjian explained that when the roads were first constructed back in 1968, they were built to county standards at that time. He stated that City bases their recommendations off science and with the assistance of consultant engineers and soil and geo-technical engineers. Staff understands that this is a lot of money but if you have poor drainage under the road, the pavement will fail prematurely. He added the roads in Independence Commons have been beyond their useful life for 10-15 years. He agreed that the sump pumps were also an issue in the past as there was no ordinance to address sump pumps at that time. Mr. Mekjian stated that they do not have the costs or percentage for only the drainage work at this time; but could provide that at a later date to residents or Council.

Mayor Massey stated that as far as asphalt versus concrete, when the project was first designed over a year ago based on petitioning efforts of the residents, the consideration was only for concrete. Due to the estimated costs at that time and concerns expressed by residents, Council directed staff to bid out both asphalt and concrete and the prices were still higher than expected but more so for concrete.

Mr. Mekjian added that it was always the intent of staff to bid both asphalt and concrete in order to obtain the most competitive bids possible, but what was not known at that time was that there would be two large regional concrete projects in our area at the same time. Mayor Massey asked staff to address the question as to how the project would be managed and notification to the residents.

Mr. Cubera stated that if the project is approved, they would begin construction in late April with a proposed completion date by Thanksgiving. He commented that there would be more inconvenience with the concrete option as it would take longer to cure.

Mr. Mekjian added that there will be an effort to communicate with the homeowners by letter as to when the project will begin and when they could expect access to the homes to be impacted.

In answer to other questions, Mayor Massey stated that the unit cost for asphalt is \$18,140.00 and \$19,705.00 for concrete and Council determined to move forward with the project at their public hearing meeting held September 28, 2015. With regard to the assessment not being disclosed when a home was purchased and any legal recourse, that resident would have to contact an attorney as the city is unable to provide legal advice to residents.

Mr. Cubera explained that bid costs are different than the project costs proposed to residents as the bid will include additional work that will be the sole responsibility of the city.

Mr. Cubera addressed the crack and seal proposal from 2005 mentioned by one resident, which is a small-scale fix and was proposed as an option over 10 years ago.

Director of Finance Dave Gajda explained that by ordinance the assessment would be placed on the July 1st tax bill once a project is approved; however, it has been the policy of the city that they would not assess residents for a project until the project was completed. For this project, it is proposed that the first installment for the assessment would appear on the December 1, 2016 taxes and then July 1st of each year thereafter for the number of years as approved by Council. He added that bonding of the project is based on the first installment on the December, 2016 taxes and if that is not the case, they would need to amend the bonding structure.

Councilmember Lerner stated that Mrs. Latimer inquired about the cost per unit and he reiterated that the single unit of benefit cost for asphalt would be \$18,140.00 and \$19,705.00 for concrete with corner lots being no more than 1.3 times those amounts.

Mr. Mekjian explained the method and variables considered when rating the projects and creating the Capital Improvement Project schedule for special assessment districts. He addressed the resident's question regarding his corner lot on the corner of road that is not used and is a dead-end, his driveway is along the frontage so is considered a corner lot and would be assessed as such.

In answer to the maintenance of driveways, Mr. Cubera stated that the contractor and engineering division typically work with the residents to replace what was there if a driveway is torn up for any reason or if there is damage. With regard to brick pavers, they would try to reuse the existing brick pavers and if not possible, would attempt to match the brick as best they can.

City Attorney Joppich addressed the question regarding a subordination lien. He stated that under existing law, there is no authority to subordinate an assessment on the tax rolls to a private lien and the city cannot subordinate bonds to private mortgages on private property.

Mayor Massey stated that the city has reviewed the effects on the property values and they believe it will increase the property value. He also inquired as to the city's responsibility in maintaining the roads if they are unsafe.

City Attorney Joppich stated that the Supreme Court case relating to the Dixon Road Standards has been reviewed by Council and staff and they have taken this into consideration. He added that while they look to those standards for guidance, there is still some question as to whether that case would apply to a City that has a voter-approved Charter that sets a cap on what the City is allowed to contribute to special assessment districts. This question may be answered if the residents appeal to the MTT. With regard to dangerous roads, he is not certain the roads have yet been rendered as unsafe; however, Council has always done its best to maintain the roads and it has been determined that the roads have deteriorated to a point where they can no longer be properly maintained.

Mayor Massey called for a 5 minute break at this time.

Mayor Massey called the meeting back to order. He acknowledged 4 additional letters in opposition to the project that were presented at the meeting and that would be provided to the City Clerk and included in the permanent record.

Councilmember Rich asked for further explanation on the required drainage, if it is included in the overall costs and if it could be removed from the project costs and not charged to residents.

Mr. Mekjian stated that the drainage system in this area is old and not up to today's standards. The inadequacy of the drainage system is one of the reasons the roads are in the condition they are today. With every road project, the road design is engineered to current standards and there is a review of the infrastructure and those costs are typically included as part of the overall project. He added that the City Council could discuss whether or not those costs should be included in the overall project costs distributed over the assessment roll.

In response to Councilmember Knol, Mr. Mekjian further explained the situation with regard to the drainage of the sumps pumps in the area.

Mayor Massey asked staff to address the question on cut-through traffic or public use of the roads. Mr. Mekjian responded that it would be difficult to limit traffic on public roads.

Mr. Cubera addressed the issue of trash pick-up and mail delivery stating that satellite locations for mail delivery as well as trash pick-up may be required and residents would be so notified.

Councilmember Rich inquired about the 5 year residency requirement for deferment of a special assessment. Director of Finance Gajda explained that this is a City policy and any amended would require City council action. He clarified that the assessment is spread over a 10-15 year period and deferments are applied for each year so once a resident reaches 5 years, they could potentially qualify for deferment at that time.

Mayor Massey stated that the city has been discussing the roads in Independence Commons for quite some time and while they may not be professional engineers, they are committed to the residents and have asked many questions to better understand the process. He feels the city also has some of the best civil engineers in the state if not the country and he is proud of their staff and thanked them for their work.

Mayor Massey stated that City Council now needs to determine if they will confirm the assessment roll for asphalt or concrete and also determine the payment terms.

Councilmember Knol stated that when she first saw the bid costs that were received by the city, she felt that asphalt might be the better option as it was less expensive and it would be more convenient for the

residents; however, after listening to the residents and reading the numerous e-mails and letters, all but one residents indicated they preferred concrete. She agrees that it would look better and is consistent with what is already there.

Councilmember Lerner agreed that asphalt was considered due to the concerns expressed by residents over the cost of the project; but most of the comments from the residents expressed the desire for concrete. He would suggest using concrete with payment terms over a 15 year period.

Councilmember Steckloff commented that the engineering staff has always been conservative with the cost estimates and this is the first time that bids came in higher than the estimated costs. She will vote against all of the costs on this project as she is not comfortable approving costs that are higher than the original estimates.

Councilmember Bridges stated he is also inclined to support concrete based on the e-mails and letters received by the residents. He also believes concrete is a better fit for the neighborhood and would prefer payment terms over 15 years. He expressed some concern with the overall costs of the project.

Councilmember Rich supported in general the idea of having special assessment district. She walked the neighborhood and feels concrete would have better curb appeal and she would prefer an assessment spread over 15 years. In terms of overall costs, she would like to review alternatives to reduce the costs.

MOTION by Bruce, support by Bridges, that the City Council of Farmington Hills hereby adopts the resolution establishing the assessment period for 15 years and confirming the assessment roll for the concrete option for Independence Commons Subdivision Road Rehabilitation Project, Special Assessment District C-345, based on the property owner's share of the cost of the project and as presented to City Council on April 11, 2016.

Councilmember Bruce preferred 15 year payment terms due to the cost of the project and felt concrete would last longer and is more consistent with what is already there. He thanked the residents for speaking so eloquently and expressing their concerns. He realizes that this is a lot of money, but believes that the engineering division will do a great job and that the residents will be pleased with the outcome.

MOTION CARRIED 5-2 (Rich and Steckloff opposed)

RESOLUTION

At a meeting of the City Council of the City of Farmington Hills, Oakland County, Michigan, held at the City Hall in said City on the 11th day of April, 2016 at 7:30 o'clock P.M.

PRESENT: BRUCE, BRIDGES, KNOL, LERNER, MASSEY, RICH AND STECKLOFF

ABSENT: NONE

The Mayor announced that this was the time fixed for reviewing and hearing objections to the Special Assessment Rolls No. <u>C-345</u> made to defray the cost of construction of INDEPENDENCE COMMONS SUBDIVISION ROAD IMPROVEMENTS in the City to be located as follows, to-wit:

STREET FROM TO

Congress Road Old Homestead Drive Old Homestead Drive
Old Homestead Drive Drake Road 11 Mile Road

Livingston Circle Liberty Lane	Old Homestead Drive Drake Road	Old Homestead Drive Old Homestead Drive
Johnstown Road	Old Homestead Drive	Old Homestead Drive
Crompton Circle	Livingston Circle	Johnstown Road
Constitution	Johnstown Road	End
Ticonderoga Drive	Johnstown Road	End
Hopkins Road	Old Homestead Drive	Johnstown Road
Charter Crest Road	Johnstown Road	Johnstown Road
Steele Road	11 Mile Road	Congress Road
Glover Court	Congress Road	End
Congress Court	Congress Road	End
Camden Court	Old Homestead Drive	End
Crown Point Court	Old Homestead Drive	End
Trenton Court	Old Homestead Drive	End
Livingston Court	Livingston Circle	End
Chesapeake Road	Livingston Circle	Subdivision Split

and asked if there were any objections to said rolls.

No written objections were filed with the City Clerk and no oral objections were made at the hearing, except as follows:

Abdul-Hafiz Afaneh & Areefa Abuhadid - 35609 Old Homestead

Alexander & Mary Andrews - 25833 Glover Ct

Marcus & Karen Ashmore - 36132 Congress

Leonard & Mona Azziem - 36290 Congress

Bob & Maureen Baird - 35720 Camden Ct

Emad Banno & Hudda Matti - 35412 Old Homestead

Joseph & Miriam Begovic - 36141 Crompton Cir

Jerry & Nancy Berkesch - 36185 Crompton

Roseanne Boley – 35739 Congress

Deborah Brodsky & Frederick Smith - 35473 Old Homestead

Steve & Joann Brown - 35767 Congress

Mary Buckley - 25360 Crown Point Ct

Justin & Catherine Buell - 36203 Crompton Cir

Gerald & Pat Burns - 25349 Liberty Lane

John & Joann Button - 36190 Old Homestead

Ronald Call - 25449 Livingston Cir

Phil Callcut - Glover Court

Bryan Callies – 25293 Hopkins

Bob & Georgia Callis - 35478 Old Homestead

Sean & Kimberly Carty - 25231 Hopkins

Joan Chapel - 35955 Old Homestead

Joan Christenberry - 36091 Crompton Cir

Joseph & Elaine Ciaramitaro - 35829 Congress

Alisa Ciokajlo - 25333 Liberty Lane

John & Helen Crump - 35955 Charter Crest

Terry & Barbara Czaplicki - 25381 Crown Pt Ct

Karah & Peter Davenport - 35928 Johnstown

Dave & Bernadette Davis - 36246 Crompton Cir

Vaenka Davis-Littles - 35855 Johnstown

Thomas & Joan DeWard - 25806 Glover Ct

Alfred & Alice Diebel - 25378 Crown Pt

Jerome & Cindi Doot - 25236 Hopkins

Silas Eboh - 35914 Congress

Ahlam & Muhammad Elayan - 35932 Old Homestead

Frederick Flock (Atty for Virginia Flock) – 35837 Johnstown

Louis & Elizabeth Focht - 25737 Livingston Cir

Anthony & Christine Franklin - 36205 Old Homestead

James & Sharon Gambill - 25388 Crown Pt Ct

Charles & Katherine Garavaglia - 25419 Liberty Ln

James & Nancy Garner - 36342 Old Homestead

Mike & Anne Genette - 35812 Congress

Warren & Lorraine Ginsberg - 25790 Livingston Cir

Elaine Gough - 36078 Congress

Carter Grabarczyk - 36137 Old Homestead

Roy & Jane Granger - 35779 Johnstown

Charles Gray Jr - 35969 Congress

Garlin Green – 25853 Livingston Cir

William Grosman & Pam Frayer - 25827 Livingston Ct

Yves & Veronique Guillou - 36219 Congress

Ronald Guminik - 25450 Livingston Cir

Dale & Jan Gyure - 35696 Camden Ct

Joy Hendren - 35454 Old Homestead

Violet & Robert Holmes - 36045 Congress Ct

Pamela Horn - 25686 Livingston Cir

Todd & Marquel Howell - 35676 Congress

Ronald & Sandy Ibbetson - 25311 Crown Pt Ct

Kristin Joker & Michael Young - 35846 Old Homestead

Liv Julvezan - 35878 Charter Crest

Albert & Delores Karibian - 36239 Congress

Hayk & Harriet Kayayan - 25471 Livingston Cir

Timothy Kelley - 36172 Old Homestead

Mary Knoll - 25338 Crown Pt

Steve & Leslie Kuhn - 36226 Trenton Ct

Iryna Kulchytska & Mykola Zelenyak - 35501 Johnstown

John & Margaret Laney - 36023 Johnstown

AvaMarie Latimer - 36058 Congress

Annette Lauria - 35622 Old Homestead

Joan Lee - 25522 Livingston Cir

Patrick Lehnerer – 36111 Johnstown

Daniel & Erin Lenda - 35912 Old Homestead

John & Nancy Lindemuth - 36038 Crompton Cir

Ramon & Judith Madrid - 25365 Liberty Lane

Dawn & David Malinowski - 36101 Congress

Bruce & Laraine Marble - 35628 Johnstown

Joseph McEvoy - 25470 Liberty Lane

Deborah McGahan - 35694 Congress

Sean McInerney - 25494 Livingston Cir

Patricia McKenna – 35676 Johnstown

Bryant & Joanne McKune - 36253 Old Homestead

Viorica & Marcal Miclea - 25448 Livingston Cir

Dennis & B.Lovee Mikel - 35873 Johnstown

Laura Jean Milligan - 36094 Congress

Donna Mistele - 36277 Old Homestead

Laurie Moffitt - 36152 Congress

Pat & Renee Murphy - 36287 Crompton Cir

Charles & Mary Nagy - 36235 Crompton Cir

Sree Naidu & Praveen Govindaraj - 35727 Johnstown

Paul & Mary Nowak - 25254 Hopkins

Julia O'Neill - 36091 Congress

Eddie & Jennifer Orow - 25444 Liberty Lane

Joseph & Katherine Oster - 25429 Livingston Cir

Steve & Carol Palaian - 36110 Crompton Cir

Marcelo & Andrea Palma - 36059 Congress

Allie & Spenser Pearson - 35819 Johnstown

James & Ellen Perkins - 35502 Johnston

Eric & Cindy Perry - 25368 Liberty Lane

Don Petras - 36073 Crompton Cir

Maxwell Pickett - 25630 Livingston Cir

Keith & Shelly Pulice - 35746 Johnstown

Margaret Rathouz & Jeffery Morrissette - 35605 Johnstown

Larry Reynolds & Lou Bergeron - 25435 Liberty Lane

Brian & Carol Rood - 35787 Congress

Leon & Nancy Ryba - 36028 Congress

Harry & Particia Semerjian - 35751 Old Homestead

William & Rosanna Semperger - 25765 Livingston Cir

Susan Serb - 36308 Old Homestead

Kim & C.Russell Simmons - 36125 Crompton

Eugene & Milda Skorupskas - 25719 Livingston Cir

Patrick & Shannon Sluck - 35523 Johnstown

Larry & Laura Smentowski - 25410 Liberty Lane

Marilyn Smith, 36173 Crompton Cir

Jeff & Marcia Sparks - 35970 Johnstown

Frank & Marlena Stankiewicz - 36233 Trenton Ct

Ziad Takla - 36155 Congress

Neena & Shashi Thakur - 25348 Liberty Lane

Darius & Betty Thomas - 35648 Congress

James Tierney - 25704 Livingston Cir

Sharon & Richard Walker - 36016 Johnstown

John Wasike & Roselyne Ogola-Mwangale - 36154 Old Homestead

Dennis & Marianne Weiss - 36090 Crompton Cir

Jeffrey & Linda Wiewiura - 36098 Congress

Allen & Maxene Wilson - 36188 Crompton Cir

John & Melissa Wilson - 36177 Old Homestead

John Xiromeritis - 35897 Charter Crest

Greg Yezersky - 35987 Charter Crest

The Mayor thereupon declared the hearing closed.

The following resolution was offered by Councilmember Bruce and seconded by Councilmember Bridges:

WHEREAS, Special Assessment Rolls No. <u>C-345</u> made to defray the cost of construction of INDEPENDENCE COMMONS SUBDIVISION ROAD IMPROVEMENTS in said City to be located as follows, to-wit:

<u>STREET</u>	<u>FROM</u>	<u>TO</u>	
Congress Road	Old Homestead Drive	Old Homestead Drive	
Old Homestead Drive	Drake Road	11 Mile Road	
Livingston Circle	Old Homestead Drive	Old Homestead Drive	
Liberty Lane	Drake Road	Old Homestead Drive	
Johnstown Road	Old Homestead Drive	Old Homestead Drive	
Crompton Circle	Livingston Circle	Johnstown Road	
Constitution	Johnstown Road	End	
Ticonderoga Drive	Johnstown Road	End	
Hopkins Road	Old Homestead Drive	Johnstown Road	
Charter Crest Road	Johnstown Road	Johnstown Road	
Steele Road	11 Mile Road	Congress Road	
Glover Court	Congress Road	End	
Congress Court	Congress Road	End	
Camden Court	Old Homestead Drive	End	
Crown Point Court	Old Homestead Drive	End	
Trenton Court	Old Homestead Drive	End	

15 APPROVED 4/25/2016

Livingston Court Livingston Circle End
Chesapeake Road Livingston Circle Subdivision Split

has heretofore been prepared and filed with the City Clerk in the aggregate amount of \$6,185,195.80 (for asphalt roads) and the alternative special assessment roll in the aggregate amount of \$6,718,813.85 (for concrete roads) and;

WHEREAS, a hearing has now been held on said Special Assessment Rolls, as required under the City's Charter and Special Assessment Ordinance:

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED as follows:

- 1. That said Special Assessment Roll No. C-345 is hereby adopted and confirmed in the amount of \$6,718,813.85 (for concrete roads) and the City Clerk is hereby directed to endorse thereon the date of said confirmation.
- 2. That the special assessments on said roll against each parcel of land shall be payable in 15 approximately equal annual installments, the first of which shall be due and payable on December 1, 2016 and the subsequent installments shall be due and payable successively on each July 1st thereafter.
- 3. That the said installments shall be collected by the City Treasurer, together with the City taxes, and shall be subject to the same penalties and interest as provided in the City's Charter for the collection of taxes.
- 4. That the City Clerk is hereby directed to deliver said roll to the City Treasurer. The City Treasurer shall thereupon collect the said special assessments in accordance with the terms of this resolution and the City's Charter and Special Assessment Ordinance.

ADOPTED:

YEAS: BRUCE, BRIDGES, KNOL, LERNER AND MASSEY

NAYS: STECKLOFF AND RICH

ABSTAINED: NONE ABSENT: NONE

NEW BUSINESS:

CONSIDERATION OF APPROVAL OF AWARD OF CONTRACT FOR THE INDEPENDENCE COMMONS SUBDIVISION ROAD REHABILITATION PROJECT, SAD C-345 TO FONSON COMPANY, INC., IN THE AMOUNT OF \$6,413,475.28 FOR THE ASPHALT OPTION OR IN THE AMOUNT OF \$6,925,271.43 FOR THE CONCRETE OPTION. CMR 4-16-40

MOTION by Lerner, support by Rich, that the City Council of Farmington Hills hereby postpones consideration of the award of contract for Independence Commons Subdivision Road Rehabilitation Project, SAD C-345 to a City Council Meeting date no later than May 23, 2016.

Mayor Massey stated that given the number of people who voiced opposition to the project, the motion is to postpone approval of the contract, which will allow the city time to assess the protest letters.

CONSIDERATION OF APPROVAL OF ADOPTING A BOND AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION TO BEGIN THE PROCESS TO SELL CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BONDS TO FINANCE LOCAL ROAD RECONSTRUCTION PROJECTS FOR THE HOLLYWOOD, WESTHILL WOODS AND TARABUSI GRAND RIVER GARDENS AREA, BRIAR HILL AND INDEPENDENCE COMMONS SPECIAL ASSESSMENT DISTRICTS. CMR 4-16-41

Director Gajda explained that the proposed resolution would allow the city to issue bonds for the three specific projects listed; but does not require or commit the city to issue those bonds. Therefore, even though the contract for Independence Commons was postponed, this resolution could still be adopted as is with the Independence Commons project included.

MOTION by Lerner, support by Steckloff, that the City Council of Farmington Hills hereby approves the adoption of a bond authorizing resolution to begin the process to sell capital improvement bonds to finance local road reconstruction projects for the Hollywood, Westhill Woods and Tarabusi Grand River Gardens Area, Briar Hill and Independence Commons special assessment districts.

MOTION CARRIED 6-1 (Bridges opposed)

BOND AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION 2016 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BONDS (LIMITED TAX GENERAL OBLIGATION)

(Hollywood, Westhill Woods and Tarabusi Grand River Gardens Area; Briar Hill and Independence Commons Road Projects)

CITY OF FARMINGTON HILLS COUNTY OF OAKLAND, STATE OF MICHIGAN

Minutes of a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Farmington Hills, County of Oakland, State of Michigan (the "City"), held on the 11th day of April, 2016, at 7:30 p.m., prevailing Eastern Time.

PRESENT: BRIDGES, BRUCE, KNOL, LERNER, MASSEY, RICH AND STECKLOFF

ABSENT: NONE

The following preamble and resolution were offered by Councilmember Lerner and supported by Councilmember Steckloff:

WHEREAS, special assessment rolls entitled Hollywood, Westhill Woods and Tarabusi Grand River Gardens Area Special Assessment Roll, Briar Hill Special Assessment Roll and Independence Commons Special Assessment Roll (collectively, the "Rolls") for the construction of public road improvements (with respect to each Roll, the "Road Project", and collectively, the "Road Projects") in each respective special assessment district similarly designated (each, a "District" and collectively, the "Districts") in the City have been prepared and reviewed by the

City Council; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has determined that it will be necessary to issue capital improvement bonds under Act 34, Public Acts of Michigan, 2001, as amended ("Act 34"), to finance a portion of the costs of the Road Projects; and

WHEREAS, the City Clerk directed the publication, with respect to each District, of a notice of intent to issue said bonds in the *Farmington Observer*, a newspaper of general circulation in the City, in accordance with Act 34 and Section 5(g) of Act 279; and

WHEREAS, at least 45 days have elapsed since the date of publication of said notices without the filing of a petition for referendum on the question of the sale and issuance of the bonds described in the notices.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

1. Bonds of the City designated 2016 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BONDS (LIMITED TAX GENERAL OBLIGATION) (the "Bonds") shall be issued in one or more series in an aggregate amount of not to exceed Eleven Million Dollars (\$11,000,000), with such changes to the bond name, designation or suffix as may be appropriate for each series, for the purpose of paying part of the costs of the Road Projects. The Bonds shall be dated as determined by the City Manager or Finance Director (the "Authorized Officers", and each, an "Authorized Officer") at the time of sale, and numbered as determined by the Transfer Agent (defined below). The Bonds shall consist of bonds registered as to principal and interest in the denomination of \$5,000 or multiples thereof not exceeding for each maturity the aggregate principal amount of that maturity, numbered in order of registration, and be payable annually on October 1 (or such other date as determined by an Authorized Officer) in the years and in the principal amounts as determined at the time of sale of the Bonds.

The limited tax full faith, credit and resources of the City are hereby pledged for the prompt payment of the principal of and interest on the Bonds as the same become due, which pledge shall include the City's obligation to pay from its general funds as a first budget obligation said principal and interest and, if necessary, to levy ad valorem taxes on all taxable property in the City within applicable constitutional, statutory and charter tax rate limitations.

2. The Bonds shall bear interest at a rate or rates determined on sale thereof, but not exceeding six percent (6%) per annum, payable on April 1, 2017 (or such other date as determined by an Authorized Officer at the time of sale) and semiannually thereafter, by check or draft drawn on the Transfer Agent (hereinafter defined) mailed to the registered owner at the registered address as shown on the registration books of the City maintained by the Transfer Agent. Interest shall be payable to the person or entity who or which is the registered owner of record as of the fifteenth (15th) day of the month prior to the payment date for each interest payment. The date of determination of the registered owner for purposes of payment of interest as provided in this paragraph may be changed by the City to conform to market practice in the future. The principal of the Bonds shall be payable at a bank or trust company selected by an Authorized Officer, as registrar and transfer agent for the Bonds (the "Transfer Agent") upon presentation and surrender thereof.

The Bonds may be issued as serial or term bonds or both and shall be subject to optional or mandatory redemption prior to maturity at the times and prices as determined at the time of sale and in the manner as set forth as in Sections 7 and 8 herein.

- 3. The Bonds shall be executed in the name of the City with the manual or facsimile signatures of the Mayor and the City Clerk and shall have the City's seal or a facsimile thereof printed or affixed on them. No bond authorized by this Resolution shall be valid until authenticated by an authorized representative of the Transfer Agent.
- 4. The Bonds shall be delivered to the Transfer Agent for authentication and shall be delivered by the Transfer Agent to the purchaser in accordance with instructions from the Treasurer of the City upon payment of the purchase price for the Bonds in accordance with the accepted bid therefor. Executed blank bonds for registration and issuance to transferees shall simultaneously, and from time to time thereafter as necessary, be delivered to the Transfer Agent for safekeeping. The Bonds may be issued in book entry only form through the Depository Trust Company in New York, New York ("DTC") and the Authorized Officers are authorized to execute such custodial or other agreements with DTC as may be necessary to accomplish the issuance of the Bonds in book entry only form and to make such change in the Bond Form within the parameters of this Resolution as may be required to accomplish the foregoing.

Unless waived by any registered owner of Bonds to be redeemed, official notice of redemption shall be given by the Transfer Agent on behalf of the City. Such notice shall be dated and shall contain at a minimum the following information: original issue date; maturity dates; interest rates; CUSIP numbers, if any; certificate numbers (and in the case of partial redemption) the called amounts of each certificate; the redemption date; the redemption price or premium; the place where Bonds called for redemption are to be surrendered for payment; and that interest on Bonds or portions thereof called for redemption shall cease to accrue from and after the redemption date.

In addition, further notice shall be given by the Transfer Agent in such manner as may be required or suggested by regulations or market practice at the applicable time, but no defect in such further notice nor any failure to give all or any portion of such further notice shall in any manner defeat the effectiveness of a call for redemption if notice thereof is given as prescribed herein.

The Transfer Agent shall keep the books of registration for this issue on behalf of the City. Any Bond may be transferred upon such registration books by the registered owner of record, in person or by the registered owner's duly authorized attorney, upon surrender of the Bond for cancellation, accompanied by delivery of a duly executed written instrument of transfer in a form approved by the Transfer Agent. Whenever any Bond or Bonds shall be surrendered for transfer, the City shall execute and the Transfer Agent shall authenticate and deliver a new Bond or Bonds, for like aggregate principal amount. The Transfer Agent shall require the payment by the bondholder requesting the transfer of any tax or other governmental charge required to be paid with respect to the transfer.

Any bond authorized by this Resolution may be transferred upon the books required to be kept by the Transfer Agent pursuant to this section by the person or entity in whose name it is registered, in person or by the registered owner's duly authorized attorney, upon surrender of the bond for cancellation, accompanied by delivery of a duly executed written instrument of transfer in a form approved by the Transfer Agent. Whenever any bond or bonds shall be surrendered for transfer, the City shall execute and the Transfer Agent shall authenticate and deliver a new bond or bonds in like aggregate principal amount, maturity and maturity rate. The Transfer Agent shall require the payment by the bondholder requesting the transfer of any tax or other governmental charge required to be paid with respect to the transfer.

5. There shall be established and maintained a fund to be designated 2016 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BONDS DEBT RETIREMENT FUND (the "Debt Retirement Fund"), the moneys to be deposited in the Debt Retirement Fund to be specifically earmarked and used solely for the purpose of paying principal of and interest on the Bonds as they mature.

In the event cash or direct obligations of the United States or obligations the principal of and interest on which are guaranteed by the United States, or a combination thereof, the principal of and interest on which, without reinvestment, come due at times and in amounts sufficient to pay the principal of and interest on the Bonds when due, shall be deposited in trust and irrevocably held for the payment and discharge of the Bonds, this Resolution shall be defeased and the owners of the Bonds shall have no further rights under this Resolution except to receive payment of the principal of and interest on the Bonds from the cash or securities deposited in trust and the interest and gains thereon and to transfer and exchange Bonds as provided herein.

6. There shall be established and maintained a separate fund to be designated 2016 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BONDS CONSTRUCTION FUND (the "Construction Fund"), into which shall be placed the portion of the proceeds of the Bonds issued for the purpose of paying the costs of the Road Projects less accrued interest, if any, which shall be deposited in the Debt Retirement Fund for the Bonds. Any net original issue premium received on sale and delivery of the Bonds shall be deposited in the appropriate account consistent with State and federal law, and if required by State or federal law, may be used to reduce the principal amount of Bonds issued, as determined by any of the Authorized Officers. The moneys in the Construction Fund shall be used solely to pay the costs of the Road Projects and the costs of issuance of the Bonds.

From the proceeds of the Bonds there shall next be set aside a sum sufficient to pay the costs of issuance of the Bonds in a fund designated 2016 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BONDS ISSUANCE FUND (the "Bond Issuance Fund"). Moneys in the Bond Issuance Fund shall be used solely to pay expenses of issuance of the Bonds. Any amounts remaining in the Bond Issuance Fund after payment of issuance expenses shall be transferred to the Construction Fund for the Bonds.

7. The Bonds shall be in substantially the following form with such changes as may be required to conform to the final terms of the Bonds established by the Sale Order:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA STATE OF MICHIGAN COUNTY OF OAKLAND

CITY OF FARMINGTON HILLS

2016 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BOND (LIMITED TAX GENERAL OBLIGATION)

Interest Rate	Maturity Date	Date of <u>Original Issue</u>	<u>CUSIP</u>
	October 1, 20	, 2016	
Registered Owner:			
Principal Amount:			Dollars
owe and for value rethe Principal Amous specified above, unleast 360 day year considered to which interest semiannually therease designated corporate other transfer agent owner not less than or entity which is the as shown on the reg	eceived hereby promises to pay int specified above, in lawful ress prepaid prior thereto as here sisting of twelve 30-day months at has been paid, at the Interest after. Principal of this bond is the trust office of	Oakland, State of Michigan (the "Country to the Registered Owner specified money of the United States of Aminafter provided, with interest there is from the Date of Original Issue is Rate per annum specified above, participated above, participated upon presentation and suggrate (the "Transfer Agent") by not est payment date. Interest on this boof the 15th day of the month preced by the Transfer Agent, by check or ered address.	above, or registered assigns, herica, on the Maturity Date on (computed on the basis of specified above or such later hyable on, 20 and turrender of this bond at the, or such the mailed to the registered bond is payable to the person ing the interest payment date
\$, adopted by the City	issued pursuant to Act 34, Pub	even Date of Original Issue aggre- lic Acts of Michigan, 2001, as amo purpose of paying the cost of cert- City Charter.	ended, and a resolution duly
the City, and the Ci	ty is required, if necessary, to l	s payable as a first budget obligation evy ad valorem taxes on all taxable, statutory and charter tax rate limits	e property in the City for the
Bonds or portions or redemption prior to	of bonds in multiples of \$5,000 maturity, at the option of the C	, inclusive, shall not be subject to a maturing in the year 20 and the structure and beginning in the date fixed for red	hereafter shall be subject to y lot within any maturity, on
[Insert term	n bond provisions if applicable.]		
In case less	s than the full amount of an out	standing bond is called for redempt	tion, the transfer agent, upon

presentation of the bond called for redemption, shall register, authenticate and deliver to the registered owner of record a new bond in the principal amount of the portion of the original bond not called for redemption.

Notice of redemption shall be given to the registered owner of any bond or portion thereof called for redemption by mailing of such notice not less than thirty (30) days prior to the date fixed for redemption to the registered address of the registered owner of record. A bond or portion thereof so called for redemption shall not bear interest after the date fixed for redemption provided funds are on hand with the transfer agent to redeem said bond or portion thereof.

This bond is transferable only upon the registration books of the City kept by the Transfer Agent by the registered owner of record in person, or by the registered owner's attorney duly authorized in writing. Upon the surrender of this bond together with a written instrument of transfer satisfactory to the Transfer Agent duly executed by the registered owner or the registered owner's attorney duly authorized in writing and upon the payment of the charges, if any, prescribed in the resolution authorizing this bond, a new registered bond or bonds in the same aggregate principal amount and of the same maturity shall be issued to the transfere in exchange therefor as provided in the resolution authorizing this bond. Neither the City nor the Transfer Agent shall be required to transfer or exchange this bond or portion of this bond either during the period of fifteen (15) days immediately preceding the date of the mailing of any notice of redemption or (except as to the unredeemed portion, if any, of this bond) after this bond or any portion of this bond has been selected for redemption.

It is hereby certified and recited that all acts, conditions and things required by law to be done, precedent to and in the issuance of this bond and the series of bonds of which this is one, exist and have been done and performed in regular and due form and time as required by law, and that the total indebtedness of the City, including this bond and the series of bonds of which this is one, does not exceed any constitutional, statutory or charter debt limitation.

This bond is not valid or obligatory for any purpose until the Transfer Agent's Certificate of Authentication on this bond has been executed by the Transfer Agent.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the City of Farmington Hills, County of Oakland, State of Michigan, by its City Council, has caused this bond to be signed in its name by the [facsimile] signatures of its Mayor and City Clerk and a facsimile of its corporate seal to be printed hereon, all as of the Date of Original Issue.

CITY OF FARMINGTON HILLS
County of Oakland
State of Michigan

By:

Its Mayor

By:

Its City Clerk

[FORM OF TRANSFER AGENT'S CERTIFICATE OF AUTHENTICATION]

Date of Registration:
Certificate of Authentication
This bond is one of the bonds described in the within-mentioned resolution.
, Michigan
Transfer Agent
Ву:
Authorized Signature
8. The City's Clerk is authorized to fix a date of sale for the Bonds and to publish a notice of sale of the Bonds in <i>The Bond Buyer</i> , New York, New York, which notice of sale shall be in substantially the following form: OFFICIAL NOTICE OF SALE
\$ CITY OF FARMINGTON HILLS COUNTY OF OAKLAND, STATE OF MICHIGAN \$2016 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BONDS (LIMITED TAX GENERAL OBLIGATION)
SEALED BIDS: Signed bids for the purchase of the above bonds will be received by the City Manager and Finance Director at the Farmington Hills City Hall located at 31555 West Eleven Mile Road, Farmington Hills, Michigan 48336 on, the day of 2016, until:m., prevailing Eastern Time, at which time and place said bids will be publicly opened and read Bids will also be received simultaneously and publicly opened and read at the Municipal Advisory Council of Michigan (the "MAC"), Buhl Building, 535 Griswold, Suite 1850, Detroit, Michigan 48226.

<u>FAXED BIDS:</u> Signed bids may be submitted by fax to the City at (248) 871-2431, Attention: Finance Director, or to the MAC at (313) 963-0943; provided that faxed bids must arrive before the time of sale and the bidder bears all risks of transmission failure.

ELECTRONIC BIDS: Electronic bids will also be received on the same date and until the same time by Bidcomp/Parity as agent of the undersigned. Further information about Bidcomp/Parity, including any fee charged, may be obtained from Bidcomp/Parity, Anthony Leyden or CLIENT SERVICES, 1359 Broadway, Second Floor, New York, New York 10010, (212) 849-5021. IF ANY PROVISION OF THIS NOTICE OF SALE SHALL CONFLICT WITH INFORMATION PROVIDED BY BIDCOMP/PARITY, AS THE APPROVED PROVIDER OF ELECTRONIC BIDDING SERVICES, THIS NOTICE SHALL CONTROL.

Bidders may choose any means or location to present bids but a bidder may not present a bid in more than one location or by more than one means.

<u>BOND DETAILS</u>: The bonds will be registered bonds of the denomination of \$5,000 or multiples thereof not exceeding for each maturity the maximum principal amount of that maturity, originally dated as of the date of initial delivery, numbered in order of registration, and will bear interest from their date payable on April 1, 2017, and semiannually thereafter.

The bonds will mature on the 1st day of October in each of the years, as follows:

\$860,000
860,000
855,000
850,000
850,000
850,000
850,000
850,000
850,000
665,000
665,000
665,000
665,000
665,000

*ADJUSTMENT TO MATURITY: The City reserves the right to increase or decrease the amount of any principal maturity of the bonds after receipt of the bids. Such adjustment, if necessary, will be made prior to the final award and in increments of \$5,000.

<u>PRIOR REDEMPTION OF BONDS</u>: Bonds maturing in the years 2017 to 2023, inclusive, shall not be subject to redemption prior to maturity. Bonds or portions of bonds in multiples of \$5,000 maturing in the year 2024 and thereafter shall be subject to redemption prior to maturity, at the option of the City, in any order of maturity and by lot within any maturity, on any date on or after October 1, 2023, at par and accrued interest to the date fixed for redemption.

In case less than the full amount of an outstanding bond is called for redemption, the transfer agent, upon presentation of the bond called for redemption, shall register, authenticate and deliver to the registered owner of record a new bond in the principal amount of the portion of the original bond not called for redemption.

Notice of redemption shall be given to the registered owner of any bond or portion thereof called for redemption by mailing of such notice not less than thirty (30) days prior to the date fixed for redemption to the registered address of the registered owner of record. A bond or portion thereof so called for redemption shall not bear interest after the date fixed for redemption provided funds are on hand with the transfer agent to redeem said bond or portion thereof.

TERM BOND OPTION: The initial purchaser of the bonds may designate any one or more maturities as term bonds and the consecutive maturities which shall be aggregated in the term bonds. The amounts of the maturities which are aggregated in a designated term bond shall be subject to mandatory redemption on October 1 of the years and in the amounts set forth in the above maturity schedule at a redemption price of par, plus accrued interest to the date of mandatory redemption. Term bonds or portions thereof mandatorily redeemed shall be selected by lot. Any such designation must be made at the time bids are submitted and must be listed on the bid.

INTEREST RATE AND BIDDING DETAILS: Both issues of Bonds shall bear interest at rate or rates not exceeding 6% per annum, to be fixed by the bids therefor, expressed in multiples of 1/8 or 1/20 of 1%, or both. The interest on any one bond shall be at one rate only and all bonds maturing in any one year must carry the same interest rate. The difference between the highest and lowest interest rates bid shall not exceed three percent (3%) per annum. No proposal for the purchase of less than all of the bonds or at a price less than 99.5% will be considered.

<u>BOOK-ENTRY ONLY</u>: The bonds will be issued in book-entry only form as one fully registered bond per maturity and will be registered in the name of Cede & Co., as bondholder and nominee for The Depository Trust Company ("DTC"), New York, New York. DTC will act as securities depository for the bonds. Purchase of the bonds will be made in book-entry-only form, in the denomination of \$5,000 or any multiple thereof. Purchasers will not receive certificates representing their interest in bonds purchased. It will be the responsibility of the purchaser to obtain DTC eligibility. Failure of the purchaser to obtain DTC eligibility shall not constitute cause for a failure or refusal by the purchaser to accept delivery of and pay for the bonds.

TRANSFER AGENT AND REGISTRATION: Principal shall be payable at the principal corporate trust office of ________, ________, or such other transfer agent as the City may hereafter designate by notice mailed to the registered owner of record not less than 60 days prior to an interest payment date. Interest shall be paid by check mailed to the registered owner of record as shown on the registration books of the City as of the 15th day prior to an interest payment date. The bonds will be transferred only upon the registration books of the City kept by the transfer agent.

<u>PURPOSE AND SECURITY</u>: The bonds are authorized for the purpose of paying the cost of acquiring and constructing certain capital improvements in the City. The bonds will be a first budget obligation of the City, payable from the general funds of the City including the collection of ad valorem taxes on all taxable property in the City subject to applicable constitutional, statutory and charter tax rate limitations. The rights or remedies of bondholders may be affected by bankruptcy, insolvency, fraudulent conveyance or other laws affecting creditors' rights generally now existing or hereafter enacted and by the application of general principles of equity including those relating to equitable subordination.

GOOD FAITH: A good faith deposit in the form of a certified or cashier's check drawn upon an incorporated bank or trust company, or wire transfer, in the amount of \$_____ payable

to the order of the Treasurer of the City will be required of the successful bidder. The successful bidder is required to submit its good faith deposit to the City as instructed by the City not later than Noon, prevailing Eastern Time, on the next business day following the sale. The good faith deposit will be applied to the purchase price of the bonds. In the event the purchaser fails to honor its accepted bid, the good faith deposit will be retained by the City. No interest shall be allowed on the good faith check. The good faith check of the successful bidder will be cashed and payment for the balance of the purchase price of the bonds shall be made at the closing.

TAX MATTERS: In the opinion of Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C., bond counsel, under existing law, assuming compliance with certain covenants, interest on the bonds is excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes as described in the opinion, and the bonds and interest thereon are exempt from all taxation by the State of Michigan or any taxing authority within the State of Michigan except inheritance and estate taxes and taxes on gains realized from the sale, payment or other disposition thereof. The successful bidder will be required to furnish, at delivery of the bonds, a certificate in a form acceptable to bond counsel as to the "issue price" of the bonds within the meaning of Section 1273 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). Such certificate will include (i) for those maturities where 10% of each such maturity of the bonds has been sold to members of the general public (excluding underwriters, brokers and dealers) prior to delivery of the bonds, the price at which the first 10% of each such maturity has not been sold to members of the general public (excluding underwriters, brokers and dealers) prior to delivery of the bonds, an agreement by the successful bidder to provide bond counsel with the prices at which the first 10% of each such maturity is ultimately sold to members of the general public.

["QUALIFIED TAX EXEMPT OBLIGATIONS": The City will designate the bonds as "qualified tax exempt obligations" for purposes of the deduction of interest expense by financial institutions pursuant to the Code.]

<u>LEGAL OPINION</u>: Bids shall be conditioned upon the approving opinion of Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C., attorneys of Detroit, Michigan, a copy of which opinion will be furnished without expense to the purchaser of the bonds at the delivery thereof. The fees of Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C. for services rendered in connection with such approving opinion are expected to be paid from bond proceeds. Except to the extent necessary to issue its approving opinion as to validity of the above bonds, Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C. has not been requested to examine or review and has not examined or reviewed any financial documents, statements or materials that have been or may be furnished in connection with the authorization, issuance or marketing of the bonds, and accordingly will not express any opinion with respect to the accuracy or completeness of any such financial documents, statements or materials.

<u>DELIVERY OF BONDS</u>: The City will furnish bonds ready for execution at its expense. Bonds will be delivered without expense to the purchaser through DTC in New York, New York, or such other place to be agreed upon. The usual closing documents, including a certificate that no

litigation is pending affecting the issuance of the bonds, will be delivered at the time of delivery of the bonds. If the bonds are not tendered for delivery by twelve o'clock noon, prevailing Eastern Time, on the 45th day following the date of sale, or the first business day thereafter if said 45th day is not a business day, the successful bidder may on that day, or any time thereafter until delivery of the bonds, withdraw his proposal by serving notice of cancellation, in writing, on the undersigned in which event the City shall promptly return the good faith deposit. Payment for the bonds shall be made in Federal Reserve Funds. Accrued interest to the date of delivery of the bonds shall be paid by the purchaser at the time of delivery.

<u>CUSIP NUMBERS</u>: It is anticipated that CUSIP identification numbers will be printed on the bonds, but neither the failure to print such numbers on any bonds nor any error with respect thereto shall constitute cause for a failure or refusal by the purchaser thereof to accept delivery of and pay for the bonds in accordance with terms of the purchase contract. All expenses in relation to the printing of CUSIP numbers on the bonds shall be paid for by the City; provided, however, that the CUSIP Service Bureau charge for the assignment of such numbers shall be the responsibility of and shall be paid for by the purchaser.

OFFICIAL STATEMENT: A preliminary Official Statement that the City deems to be final as of its date, except for the omission of information permitted to be omitted by Rule 15c2-12 of the Securities and Exchange Council, has been prepared and may be obtained from Public Financial Management, Inc., financial advisor to the City, at the address and telephone listed under FINANCIAL ADVISOR below. Public Financial Management, Inc., will provide the winning bidder with a reasonable number of final Official Statements within 7 business days from the date of sale to permit the purchaser to comply with Securities and Exchange Council Rule 15c2-12. Additional copies of the Official Statement will be supplied by Public Financial Management, Inc., upon request and agreement by the purchaser to Public Financial Management, Inc., within 24 hours of the time of sale.

CONTINUING DISCLOSURE: As described more fully in the Official Statement, the City has agreed to provide or cause to be provided, in accordance with the requirements of Rule 15c2-12 promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Council, on or prior to the sixth month after the end of each fiscal year commencing with the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, (i) certain annual financial information and operating data, including audited financial statements for the preceding fiscal year, generally consistent with the information contained or cross-referenced in the Official Statement relating to the bonds, (ii) timely notice of the occurrence of certain material events with respect to the bonds and (iii) timely notice of a failure by the City to provide the required annual financial information on or before the date specified in (i) above.

BOND INSURANCE AT PURCHASER'S OPTION: If the Bonds qualify for issuance of any policy of municipal bond insurance or commitment therefor at the option of the bidder/purchaser, the purchase of any such insurance policy or the issuance of any such commitment shall be at the option and expense of the purchaser of the Bonds. Any and all increased costs of issuance of the Bonds resulting from such purchase of insurance shall be paid by the purchaser, except that if the City has requested and received a rating on the Bonds from a rating agency, the City shall pay the fee for the requested rating. Any other rating agency fees shall be the responsibility of the purchaser. FAILURE OF THE MUNICIPAL BOND INSURER TO ISSUE THE POLICY AFTER THE BONDS HAVE BEEN AWARDED TO THE PURCHASER SHALL NOT CONSTITUTE CAUSE FOR FAILURE OR REFUSAL BY THE PURCHASER TO ACCEPT DELIVERY OF THE BONDS FROM THE CITY.

<u>FINANCIAL ADVISOR</u>: Further information relating to the bonds may be obtained from Public Financial Management, Inc., 305 E. Eisenhower, Suite 112, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48108, Telephone: (734) 994-9700, Facsimile: (734) 994-9710.

<u>BIDDER CERTIFICATION: NOT "IRAN-LINKED BUSINESS"</u> By submitting a bid, the bidder shall be deemed to have certified that it is not an "Iran-Linked Business" as defined in Act 517 Michigan Public Acts of 2012, being MCL 129.311 et. seq.

<u>ENVELOPES</u> containing the bids should be plainly marked "Proposal for 2016 Capital Improvement Bonds".

THE RIGHT IS RESERVED TO REJECT ANY OR ALL BIDS.

City Clerk City of Farmington Hills

- 9. The estimated useful life of the Road Projects is not less than fifteen (15) years.
- 10. The City shall, to the extent permitted by law, take all actions within its control necessary to maintain the exclusion of the interest on the Bonds from gross income for federal income tax purposes under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), including, but not limited to, actions relating to any required rebate of arbitrage earnings and the expenditure and investment of Bond proceeds and moneys deemed to be Bond proceeds.
- 11. Each Authorized Officer is hereby individually authorized to designate any series of Bonds as "qualified tax-exempt obligations" for purposes of deduction of interest expense by financial institutions pursuant to the Code if and only if it is determined by an Authorized Officer at the time of sale of that series of Bonds that the City does not reasonably anticipate issuing tax-exempt obligations, including that series of Bonds, in the calendar year in which that series of Bonds are to be issued in an aggregate principal amount in excess of \$10,000,000.
- 12. The City agrees to enter into an undertaking for the benefit of the holders and beneficial owners of the Bonds pursuant to Rule 15c2-12 of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and the Authorized Officers are each authorized to execute such undertaking prior to delivery of the Bonds.
- 13. The Authorized Officers are each hereby authorized on behalf of the City to award the sale of the Bonds to the bidder whose bid meets the requirements of law and which produces the lowest true interest cost to the City computed in accordance with the terms of the Official Notice of Sale as published.
- 14. The Authorized Officers are each authorized to adjust the final bond details set forth herein to the extent necessary or convenient to complete the transaction authorized herein, and in pursuance of the foregoing is authorized to exercise the authority and make the determinations authorized pursuant to Section 315(1)(d) of Act 34, including but not limited to

determinations regarding interest rates, prices, discounts, maturities, principal amounts, denominations, dates of issuance, interest payment dates, redemption rights, the place of delivery and payment, designation of series, and other matters, within the parameters established by this Resolution. The Authorized Officers are each authorized to do all other acts and take all other necessary procedures required to effectuate the sale, issuance, and delivery of the Bonds.

- 15. The Authorized Officers are each hereby authorized and directed to cause the preparation and circulation of a preliminary and final Official Statement with respect to the Bonds; to procure a policy of municipal bond insurance with respect to the Bonds or cause the qualification of the Bonds therefor if, upon the advice of the financial advisor to the City, the acquisition of such insurance would be of economic benefit to the City; to obtain ratings on the Bonds; and to take all other actions necessary or advisable, and make such other filings with the Michigan Department of Treasury or with other parties, to enable the sale and delivery of the Bonds as contemplated herein.
- 16. The City hereby confirms the appointment of Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C. as bond counsel and Public Financial Management, Inc., to act as financial advisor with respect to the Bonds.
- 17. All resolutions and parts of resolutions insofar as they conflict with the provisions of this Resolution be and the same hereby are rescinded.

AYES: BRUCE, KNOL, LERNER, MASSEY, RICH AND STECKLOFF

NAYS: BRIDGES

RESOLUTION DECLARED ADOPTED.

CONSIDERATION OF APPROVAL OF A REQUEST TO TRANSFER OWNERSHIP OF A CLASS C LIQUOR LICENSE WITH VARIOUS PERMITS, LOCATED AT 30500 WEST 13 MILE ROAD, FARMINGTON HILLS, MI FROM E.A. FULLER OAK MANAGEMENT CORPORATION TO FULLER OAK MANAGEMENT LLC, AND NEW BANQUET FACILITY AND CATERING PERMIT.

City Clerk Smith stated that this request to transfer a liquor license involves a name change for the corporation name and the owners and operation of the business will remain the same. City Ordinances require approval by Council for the transfer of liquor licenses and the Liquor Control Commission has confirmed that a resolution is required of Council for the banquet facility permit that is considered a new permit due to the name change.

MOTION by Bruce, support by Knol, that the City Council of Farmington Hills hereby recommends approval to the Michigan Liquor Control Commission for the request from Fuller Oak Management, LLC for the transfer of ownership of a 2015 Class C Licensed Business with Sunday Sales (PM), Dance-Entertainment, Outdoor Service (1 Area), Specific Purpose (Food, Golf), (2) Bar permits and new Banquet Facility and Catering permits located at 30500 West 13 Mile Road, Farmington Hills, MI.

Roll Call Vote:

Yeas: BRIDGES, BRUCE, KNOL, LERNER, MASSEY, RICH AND STECKLOFF

Nays: NONE Absent: NONE Abstentions: NONE

MOTION CARRIED 7 - 0

CONSIDERATION OF APPROVAL OF POLLING LOCATION CHANGES FOR THE AUGUST 2, 2016 ELECTION.

City Clerk Smith explained that several precinct location changes are needed for the August 2, 2016 Election only due to construction that will be taking place at various school buildings during the summer. She stated that she met with school representatives to discuss alternate locations and reviewed other area facilities and has proposed several changes this evening to Council.

Councilmember Rich commented that the alternate location for East Middle School was not as visible and expressed concern that this could deter people from voting.

City Clerk Smith stated that she did consider the Costick Center as an alternate location; however, she already has 1 precinct at that location and to add 2 more precincts to an already busy facility she felt would be confusing. The stated that per Michigan Election Law, she also limited to only using public buildings or buildings owned and operated by certain 501C organizations. Clerk Smith added that once changes are approved, she will be sending a post card notification to all affected residents and she has already spoke to the DPW staff about making signs for both the current locations and alternate locations.

MOTION by Knol, support by Lerner, that the City Council of Farmington Hills hereby approves the following polling location changes in the City of Farmington Hills as recommended by the Election Commission for the August 2, 2016 Election only:

CURRENT LOCATION/PRECINCT #

Forest Elementary – Precinct #3
East Middle School – Precincts #18 and #19
Lanigan Elementary – Precinct #21
Power Middle School – Precinct #24
Gill Elementary – Precinct #25

MOTION CARRIED 7 - 0

ALTERNATE LOCATION (AUG ONLY)

Orchard United Methodist Church Beechview Elementary Alameda School Finnish Center Finnish Center

CONSENT AGENDA:

RECOMMENDED APPROVAL OF REQUEST FOR EMPLOYMENT UNDER SECTION 10.01A OF THE CITY CODE.

MOTION by Lerner, support by Bridges, that the City Council of Farmington Hills hereby approves the request for employment under Section 10.01A of the City Code for Staci Hayman as a Cultural Arts Programmer in the Special Services Department. Staci Hayman is the daughter of Sandra Hayman, part time After School Assistant (Farmington YMCA location) in the Special Services Department.

Roll Call Vote:

Yeas: BRIDGES, BRUCE, KNOL, LERNER, MASSEY, RICH AND STECKLOFF

Nays: NONE Absent: NONE Abstentions: NONE MOTION CARRIED 7 - 0

RECOMMENDED APPROVAL OF AWARD OF BID FOR 2016 STREET SWEEPING SERVICES TO G&M ENTERPRISES IN AN AMOUNT OF \$59,890.00. CMR 4-16-42

MOTION by Lerner, support by Bridges, that the City Council of Farmington Hills hereby authorizes the City Manager and City Clerk to sign the contract with G&M Enterprises for 2016 Street Sweeping Services in the amount of \$59,890.00.

Roll Call Vote:

Yeas: BRIDGES, BRUCE, KNOL, LERNER, MASSEY, RICH AND STECKLOFF

Nays: NONE Absent: NONE Abstentions: NONE

MOTION CARRIED 7-0

RECOMMENDED APPROVAL OF AWARD OF BID FOR THE 2016 CATCH BASIN CLEANING AND AS-NEEDED STORM SEWER CLEANING PROGRAM TO SAFEWAY TRANSPORT, INC., IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$45,000.00. CMR 4-16-43

MOTION by Lerner, support by Bridges, that the City Council of Farmington Hills hereby authorizes the City Manager and City Clerk to sign the contract with Safeway Transport, Inc. for the 2016 Catch Basin Cleaning and As-Needed Storm Sewer Cleaning Program in an amount not to exceed \$45,000.00.

Roll Call Vote:

Yeas: BRIDGES, BRUCE, KNOL, LERNER, MASSEY, RICH AND STECKLOFF

Nays: NONE Absent: NONE Abstentions: NONE

MOTION CARRIED 7-0

RECOMMENDED APPROVAL OF THE CITY COUNCIL STUDY SESSION MEETING MINUTES OF MARCH 28, 2016.

MOTION by Lerner, support by Bridges, that the City Council of Farmington Hills hereby approves the study session meeting minutes of March 28, 2016 as submitted.

Roll Call Vote:

Yeas: BRIDGES, BRUCE, KNOL, LERNER, MASSEY, RICH AND STECKLOFF

Nays: NONE Absent: NONE Abstentions: NONE

MOTION CARRIED 7-0.

RECOMMENDED APPROVAL OF THE CITY COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING MINUTES OF MARCH 28, 2016.

MOTION by Lerner, support by Bridges, that the City Council of Farmington Hills hereby approves the regular meeting minutes of March 28, 2016 as submitted.

Roll Call Vote:

Yeas: BRIDGES, BRUCE, KNOL, LERNER, MASSEY, RICH AND STECKLOFF

Nays: NONE Absent: NONE Abstentions: NONE

MOTION CARRIED 7-0.

ATTORNEY'S REPORT:

The City Attorney's report was received by Council and there were no questions.

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business, Mayor Massey adjourned the regular City Council meeting at 11:00pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Pamela B. Smith, City Clerk